SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BUSINESS SERVICES

To: Dr. Alex Cherniss, Superintendent

Submitted by: Julie Boucher, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Prepared by: Vangie Lingat, Director of Accounting

Date: June 23, 2015

Subject: 2015-16 PROPOSED DISTRICT BUDGET AND LONG RANGE FINANCIAL

PROJECTIONS FOR 2016-17 AND 2017-18 FISCAL YEARS

It is recommended that the Board of Education adopt the 2015-16 Proposed District Budget and Long-Range Financial Projections for the 2016-17 and 2017-18 fiscal years.

The 2015-16 Proposed District Budget "Proposed Budget" and Long-Range Financial Projections were developed based on the Governor's May Revise Report, and the Los Angeles County Office of Education's Projections for fiscal years 2015-16 through 2017-18 (Attachment 1), in accordance with the District's 2015-16 Budget Calendar (Attachment 2).

Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), the funding formulas for K-12 Education were significantly changed in 2014. The intent of LCFF is to provide for more flexibility at the local level, transparency of the District's budget development process, and alignment of District goals, actions and services with its budget.

The Proposed Budget was developed in tangent with the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). The LCAP expenditures are reflected in the 2015-16 Proposed District Budget and vice versa. The Governor's plan is to reach the targeted LCFF funding levels for K-12 Education within eight years, which may occur sooner depending on state funding. Additional information on the Governor's May Revise is attached (Attachment 3).

Revenue Assumptions

Student Enrollment/Average Daily Attendance

Student enrollment has been relatively stable over the past few years. Projected total student enrollment for 2015-16 is projected at 3,142. For budgeting purposes, the District's average daily attendance is projected at current year P2 ADA, equal to 3073.92.

Statutory COLA's

The term "COLA" or Cost-of-Living Allowance, no longer holds the significance that it did under the old funding system of revenue limits and categorical programs. The projected statutory COLAs are now added to the target base grants. The projected COLA's are included in the District's LCFF calculations. The COLA for 2015-16 is 1.02%. Districts are only receiving a portion of their "target" funding, which is referred to as "Gap Funding." In other words, Gap Funding is the amount of new funding received over the prior year.

Hold Harmless Provisions - LCFF Funding

The District's Local Control Funding is based on its "Hold Harmless" revenue calculation of prior year funding and average daily attendance.

Gap Funding

It is projected that the District will receive \$2,445,775 in Gap Funding for 2015-16. Based on the projected Gap Funding, proposed Budget Items for 2015-16 (Attachment 4) were included in the budget and additional funds were set aside for salary and benefit adjustments.

2015-16 Budget Augmentations/Items

Attached is a listing of the Proposed Budget Items for the 2015-16 fiscal year (Attachment 4), which provide for the enhancement and expansion of instructional and support services at all school sites as well lower class sizes.

Grade Span Adjustment Funding

The District will receive funding in support of lower class sizes (24:1) for grades TK through 3 as well as funding for grades 9-12 to support supplemental services. Previously the District received similar funding K-3 CSR, Grade 9 CSR and other high school categorical funding. Under the new funding level and requirements, each elementary school must maintain on overall average class size for grades TK - 3 below 24:1.

<u>Supplemental Grant and Proportionality</u>

Under the LCFF Funding formula, the District is receiving a pro-rata share of its entitled Supplemental Grant allocation. Supplemental Grant revenue is based on the District's "Unduplicated Count" students. The Unduplicated Count is a composite of those students who qualify for free and reduced priced meals, English learners, and foster youth. The District currently has 467 students or 14.86% of its total student population in this category.

Under the LCFF funding laws, the District must demonstrate quantitative and qualitative enhanced services for Unduplicated Count students. The District has committed \$486,586, of which \$192,667 is from the 2015-16 Gap Funding, to help serve and support the identified students. The additional funding is referred to as "Proportionality Funding."

Other State Funding

The District will also continue to receive the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant (TIIG) funding and Home-to-School Transportation, used for Special Education transportation services based on 2012-13 funding levels. Other state revenues include restricted and unrestricted lottery revenues, mandated block grant funds and special education mental health funding.

Federal Funding

The District will continue to receive federal funding for Title I, Title II (Teacher Quality Improvement), and funding for immigrant education and limited English proficiency program (Title III). The District also receives federal funding for special education.

San Marino Schools Foundation Contributions

The District is extremely fortunate to receive contributions and revenues from its local community. The San Marino Schools Foundation is a significant part of the District's local funding. The funding helps to keep teaching positions to maintain lower class sizes across all grade levels. It is projected that the District will receive \$2.5 million per year from the Schools Foundation's contributions in 2015-16.

Parcel Tax Revenues

The District has two parcel taxes, Measure R and Measure E. Measure R was renewed in 2013, and Measure E was renewed in 2015, both for six-year terms. Measure R is currently \$336 per parcel and Measure E is \$865 per parcel. Together, the parcel taxes provide the District with \$5.5 million annually. Proceeds received from the parcel tax are used to preserve core academic and instructional programs and services. The District publishes an annual accountability report of parcel tax expenditures.

Other Local Donations and Reimbursements

Additionally, the District receives donations and reimbursements from parents, PTA's and PTSA, school-connected organizations, parents, and community members as well as revenues for use of its facilities from local community athletic groups, the Chinese Club of San Marino and the City of San Marino. Donations are budgeted upon receipt of the funds throughout the year.

Expenditure Assumptions

Certificated and Classified Salaries

Certificated and classified salaries have been adjusted to reflect step and column adjustments. Additional salary adjustments are subject to negotiations with San Marino Teachers Association and California School Employees Association Chapter #120. Positions listed on the 2015-16 Budget Items listing (Attachment 4), are also included in the Proposed Budget. The Budget Assumptions listing (Attachment 5) provides further details on the District's projected salary and benefit assumptions.

Health Benefits

In 2015-16, health benefit premium increases will be funded by a transfer of \$176,000 from the Health Benefits Fund, and increased employee co-pays for major medical insurance. After accounting for the contribution from the Health Benefits Fund, the District funds 90% of employee-only costs and 70% of two-party and family costs. In future years, employee co-pays will be adjusted based on negotiated agreements. The District also provides two options for dental insurance, vision, life insurance for benefit-eligible employees and an employee assistance program for all employees.

Statutory Benefits

CalSTRS employer rates are projected to increase incrementally over the next six year. Employee contributions for CalSTRS will also increase slightly. CalPERS employer rates will also increase over the same time period. The District's contributions to CalSTRS and CalPERS will increase by \$311,882 in 2015-16.

Table 1: CalSTRS and CalPERS Employer Rate Projections:

	CalSTRS	CalPERS
2014-15 *	8.88%	11.771%
2015-16	10.73%	11.847%
2016-17	12.58%	13.050%
2017-18	14.43%	16.600%
2018-19	16.28%	18.200%
2019-20	18.13%	19.900%
2020-21	19.10%	20.400%

^{*}Actual Rates

Additionally, employees' CalSTRS rates will increase from 8.15% to 9.20% in 2015-16 for classic members and 8.56% for employees who became members after January 1, 2013. Their contribution rates will also be adjusted over a period of time depending on their CalSTRS eligibility date. The changes are based on "Public Employees' Pension Reform Act" (PEPRA) enacted on September 12, 2012.

The other statutory benefits, including Medicare, OASDI, State Unemployment Insurance, and Workers Compensation have been included in the salary and benefit projections. There are no major changes in these rates. The District's workers compensation premium will be funded from safety credits and equity distribution funds from the West San Gabriel Workers Compensation JPA.

Books and Supplies, Services and Equipment Costs

Instructional and non-instructional supplies, textbooks, operating services and equipment accounts are reflective of allocated amounts. School sites receive funding for general school site allocations, state adopted instructional materials, funding to support their Single Plans for Student Achievement (SPSA), and Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant (TIIG) funding. School Sites will develop budget plans for their SPSA and TIIG allocations in cooperation with their School Site Councils. These plans will be presented to the Board at a later date.

Throughout the year, schools also receive donations from PTA's/PTSA, parents, and the community to support their instructional programs and educational support. These donations and associated expenditures are budgeted when the donation amounts are received.

Other Financing Sources/Uses

The District is committed to maintaining its facilities in accordance with the San Marino USD community's passage of two bonds totaling \$52 million, in 1996 and 2000. Transfer of \$15,125 to the Capital Projects Fund is included in the Proposed Budget, as well as a transfer in of \$176,000 from the Health Benefits Fund.

Indirect Support

The District collects indirect costs from state and federal categorical programs to offset General Fund operational costs. The current district approved rate for the 2015-16 year is 10.51 %. This results in an offset of \$49,367 to General Fund expenditures.

Ending Balance Assumptions

Reserve for Economic Uncertainties

The District maintains a 5% minimum reserve in accordance with Board Policy. The Proposed Budget reflects a 5% reserve in the budget and projection years of \$1.97 million.

Cash Flow

The District has an established Cash Flow Fund (Fund 17.2), which provides adequate funding to meet payroll and operational expenditures on a monthly basis throughout the fiscal year. The Cash Flow fund provides sufficient funding to meet payroll and expenditure obligations for two to three months during the fiscal year.

Future Year Projections

Proposition 30, passed by voters on November 6, 2012, increased sales tax rates through 2016 and personal income tax rates through 2018. The additional funding offsets further reductions to K-12 Education funding. It is also provided funding for the implementation of LCFF, funds to address the CalSTRS unfunded liability, amendments to the Rainy Day Fund, and additional funding for other state programs.

Despite the Governor's commitment to K-12 Education and the LCFF Funding formula, state funding for K-12 Education is still well below the national average and the District does not benefit as much as other School Districts based on its unduplicated student count. The District is fortunate for the generous contributions received from the San Marino Schools Foundation and the parcel taxes to support its top-quality educational programs and services.

Other Funds

As part of the Proposed Budget, information and projections for each of the District's "Other Funds" is provided.

Food Services Fund 13.0

The District's food services program is fully self-supporting. The Food Services Fund covers all costs associated with the program including food services salaries and benefits, food and supplies, repairs, equipment replacement. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$23,195.

Deferred Maintenance Fund 14.0

The Deferred Maintenance Fund supports major maintenance and repairs throughout the District. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$1,562,015. Majority of the funds have been committed to the following projects: Carver Parking Lot Improvement, Carver Underground Piping and Huntington Electrical Upgrades.

Health Benefits Fund 17.0

The Proposed Budget includes a transfer of \$176,000 from the Health Benefits Fund to the General Fund to offset premium increases in 2015-16. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$176,542.

Cash Flow Fund 17.2

The Cash Flow Fund was established in 2009 as a result of the state's deferral of K-12 Education revenues and the District's need to meet its monthly payroll and expenditure obligations. The Proposed State Budget includes a plan to eliminate the remaining K-12 apportionment deferrals. The District continues to have a need for cash flow during certain months of the year. The Proposed Budget includes a transfer of \$795,000 from the Cash Flow Fund to offset increases in Health and Welfare premiums as well as to fund Technology projects. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$7,362,000.

Building Fund 21.0

All funds have been expended.

Capital Facilities Fund 25.0

The Capital Facilities Fund accounts for income and expenditures associated with the collection of developer fees. Developer Fee revenues, projected at \$100,000 per year, are restricted for capital needs associated with enrollment growth and program expansion. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$683,601.

Capital Projects and Improvement Fund 40.0

The Capital Projects and Improvement Fund represents restricted donations for San Marino High School alumni tiles. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$61,537.

Bond Interest and Redemption Fund 51.0

The Bond Interest and Redemption Fund accounts for the proceeds from tax revenues and payment of principal and interest to bondholders associated with the District's issuance of General Obligation Bonds "GO Bonds" in 1996, 1998, and 2000, and the 2001 refunding of the 1996 issuance. The fund is managed by the Los Angeles County Treasurer's Office. The projected beginning balance in the fund as of July 1, 2015 is \$16,778,595. These funds are restricted and committed to bondholders.

Next Steps - State Budget

The State Legislature and Governor have agreed on the 2015-16 State Budget. As more information is received, updates will be provided to the Board of Education.

fi: jb/2015-16 Proposed Budget Narrative - Bd Mtg 6-23-15

2015-16 UPDATED BUDGET ASSUMPTION GUIDELINES PROJECTIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2014-15 THROUGH 2017-18

Assumptions must be submitted to support data for the 2015-16 and two subsequent years. The guidelines indicated below are provided to assist you with projections for fiscal years 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18.

LCFF REVENUE	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Statutory COLA / Net Funded COLA	0.85%	1.02%	1.60%	2.48%
Gap Funding ¹	29.15%	53.08%	37.40%	36.70%
SPECIAL EDUCATION AND CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
COLA for Special Ed and Other Categorical Programs Outside of LCFF (on state and local share only)	0.85%	1.02%	1.60%	2.48%
LOTTERY REVENUE ²	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Unrestricted	\$128.00/ADA	\$128.00/ADA	\$128.00/ADA	\$128.00/ADA
Restricted for Instructional Materials	34.00/ADA	34.00/ADA	34.00/ADA	34.00/ADA
Total Lottery Revenue	\$162.00/ADA	\$162.00/ADA	\$162,00/ADA	\$162.00/ADA
OTHER FACTORS	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
CalSTRS Employer Rates	8.88%	10.73%	12.58%	14.43%
CalPERS Employer Rates	11.771%	11.847%	13.05%	16.60%
Interest Rate for 10-year Treasuries	2.20%	2.50%	2.80%	3.00%
California Consumer Price Index (CPI)	1.80%	2.10%	2.50%	2.90%
Other Expenses (4000s – 6000s)	2013-14+CPI	2014-15+CPI	2015-16+CPI	2016-17+CPI

¹ There is no statutory requirement to provide Gap funding in any year; when projecting LCFF increases in the "out years" it is recommended that districts assign, reserve or otherwise set-aside any projected increase in LCFF revenues as a result of Gap funding or at least have a contingency plan in place if anticipated revenues do not materialize

² Lottery funding is based on actual ADA (e.g., excluding excused absences), multiplied by a statewide excused absence rate of 1.04446. For 2009-10 through 2014-15, 2007-08 ADA is used for Adult Education and ROC/Ps. No additional lottery funding provided for Adult Education and ROC/P's ADA beginning in 2015-16.

Attachment No. 2 to: Informational Bulletin No. 4125

San Marino Unified School District 2015-16 Budget Development Calendar

February 2015

Cabinet/Principals/Directors Budget Meetings

School Sites' Single Plans for Student Achievement Presented to Board of Education

March 2015

2014-15 Second Interim Financial Report and Projections

Cabinet/Principals/Directors Budget Meetings

District Meetings with SMTA and CSEA Chapter #120

April 2015

Preliminary Enrollment Projections for Budget Planning

LCAP Parent Advisory Committee and DELAC Meetings

District Meetings with SMTA and CSEA Chapter #120

Cabinet/Principals/Directors Budget Meetings

May 2015

Governor's May Revise Report

LCAP Parent Advisory Committee Meeting

District Meetings with SMTA and CSEA Chapter #120

Cabinet/Principals/Directors Budget Meetings

Board Meeting to Review Updated LCAP Plan

June 2015

Public Hearing Local Control Accountability Plan

Public Hearing 2015-16 Proposed District Budget

Proposed Updated LCAP Presented to the Board of Education

Proposed 2015-16 District Budget Presented to the Board of Education

July 2015

Submit Approved Updated LCAP Plan and 2015-16 Adopted District Budget to LACOE

LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION Division of Business Advisory Services Financial Management Services

County Office 2015-16 Budget Assumptions and Recommendations

These assumptions are recommended for use by districts in developing their 2015-16 Budget and multi-year projections.

MAY REVISION HIGHLIGHTS

State revenues are projected to climb by \$5.6 billion over the three year period 2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16, as compared to the January budget proposal, primarily because of higher personal income taxes. The Proposition 98 guarantee is projected to provide an increase of \$2.7 billion in 2015-16 educational funding.

The Proposition 98 maintenance factor, which totaled nearly \$11 billion in 2011-12, has been reduced to \$772 million under the May Revision.

Major components of the Governor's May Revision are:

- \$6.1 billion to continue implementation of the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF); which equates to a 53.08 gap closure percentage.
- \$3.5 billion in one-time Prop 98 funding to further implement the state adopted academic standards. Note that these funds will offset any applicable mandate reimbursement claims.
- \$1.9 billion contribution to the "Rainy Day" fund;
- \$1.0 billion to eliminate all inter-year deferrals in 2014-15;
- \$400 million for a new transitional Career Technical Education (CTE) Grant Program
- \$100 million for school internet connectivity and infrastructure consistent with the process used in 2014-15
- \$1.8 million increase in the Mandated Block Grant (MBG) to align with revised Average Daily Attendance (ADA) estimates.

REVENUE LIMIT / LCFF FUNDING

The Governor proposed \$6.1 billion to continue the implementation of the LCFF. Districts should continue to project funding based on the May Revision LCFF revenue projections as follows:

• The statutory COLA is 1.02 percent for 2015-16, and is projected at 1.60 percent for 2016-17 and 2.48 percent for 2017-18.

Attachment No. 1 to: Informational Bulletin No. 4125

LCFF Funding Projections

The County Office LCFF revenue projections are used to validate district budget revenue projections. The formulas used in these projections have been synced with the FCMAT Calculator's formulas. It is strongly recommended that districts utilize these updated County Office LCFF revenue runs to project estimated revenues for the 2015-16 Budget and multi-year projections. Our review of districts' projections will be using that data.

These projections have been updated to reflect the new Proportionality Percentage calculation, as well as provide districts with the ability to project revenues using alternate Gap Funding amounts if desired. This will be found in the analysis tab in the LCFF revenue projection system.

Augmentation Grant

The Augmentation Grant provides additional funding for grades K-3 (CSR) and 9-12 (CTE). The CSR augmentation is 10.4 percent of the K-3 Base Grant, estimated at \$737 per ADA for 2015-16. When the LCFF is fully funded, and as a condition of receipt, districts shall maintain average class sizes of 24:1, unless a locally bargained alternative ratio is agreed on. The class size requirement is not subject to waiver by the State Board of Education.

The CTE augmentation is 2.6 percent of the 9-12 Base Grant, estimated at \$223 per ADA. While commonly referred to as CTE, no specific requirement is made to allocate these funds for CTE purposes. However, the District Plan must include a CTE component.

Supplemental and Concentration Grants

The Supplemental and Concentration Grants provide additional funding to students identified as qualifying for free and reduced price meals, English Learner (EL), and foster youth. Each district will determine the unduplicated count of these students. A three-year rolling average of this count will be the basis for funding. This count is expressed as a percentage of total enrollment.

The Supplemental Grant provides an additional 20 percent of the Base Grant multiplied by the unduplicated count percentage. The Concentration Grants provides additional funding for districts with unduplicated counts greater than 55 percent, with an additional 50 percent of the Base Grant multiplied by the unduplicated count in excess of 55 percent.

Home-to-School Transportation and TIIG Grant

The Home-to-School Transportation Grant and Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant (TIIG) provide funding equal to the amounts districts received from these programs in 2012-13. These amounts are fixed at the 2012-13 amounts for all future years' calculations.

The May Revision proposes to provide home-to-school transportation funding directly to joint powers authorities (JPAs). Member school districts would be required to forward funding equal to the 2012-13 allocation to the JPAs unless both parties agree to an alternative arrangement.

The proposed Base Grant rates for 2015-16 and 2016-17 are as follows:

Grade <u>Level</u>	Base Grant per ADA	2015-16 COLA 1.02percent	2015-16 Base <u>Grant</u>	2016-17 COLA 1.60percent	Base Grant per ADA
K-3	\$ 7,011	\$ 72	\$ 7,083	\$ 113	\$ 7,196
4-6	\$ 7,116	\$ 73	\$ 7,189	\$ 115	\$ 7,304
7-8	\$ 7,328	\$ 75	\$ 7,403	\$ 118	\$ 7,521
9-12	\$ 8,491	\$ 87	\$ 8,578	\$ 137	\$ 8,715

LCFF Funding Increases

The Base Grant rates are increased annually by the statutory COLA. The statutory COLA for 2015-16 is 1.02 percent. The estimated COLAs for 2016-17 are 1.60 percent and 2.48 percent for 2017-18. It is important to note that the COLA affects only the calculation of the LCFF Target, and does not describe the net increase in funding for each district.

The Governor proposes \$6.1 billion to increase LCFF funding in 2015-16. This would further implement the LCFF and would fund each district's Gap by an estimated 53.08 percent. The Department of Finance projects that enough additional funds will be provided to fund the Gap by 37.40 percent in 2016-17 and by 36.70 percent in 2017-18. This does not mean that each district will receive increases equal to these amounts, but rather that each district's Gap (the difference between their minimum State funding and their Target amounts) would be funded by those percentages.

Based on our calculations of Los Angeles County school districts' LCFF revenues, the increases from 2014-15 to 2015-16 range from just over 5.0 percent to just under 19.0 percent per ADA. This wide range is a clear indication that each district must understand the unique impact of the LCFF funding on their district.

The Administration anticipates the transition to fully fund LCFF will take eight years, with full funding occurring in 2020-21. Until then, increases in funding will be as the State budget appropriates funding for that purpose. There is no statutory guaranteed increase in any given year until full implementation is reached. Furthermore, expiration of some temporary tax increases in 2016 and 2018, as well as uncertainty regarding the continued recovery of the State's economy, results in uncertainty regarding future years' increases in LCFF funding. Therefore, we recommend that districts assign, reserve or otherwise set aside any projected increase in LCFF revenue as a result of Gap Funding in 2016-17 and subsequent years. If districts choose to budget this increased revenue, they must have a contingency or alternative plan in place should these funds fail to materialize. However, we do recognize that the Governor has proposed significant funding to increase LCFF funding for 2015-16, and do recommend that districts budget revenues at that level.

Local Control and Accountability Plans (LCAP)

Careful alignment of the district LCAP and budget is essential. The LCAP review by COEs must address the sufficiency of the budget to fund services and actions outlined in the LCAP. The County Superintendent of Schools must determine that both: (1) the LCAP adheres to the template adopted by the SBE, and (2) the budget adopted by the governing board of the school district includes sufficient expenditures to provide the services and actions included in the LCAP adopted by the governing board of the school district.

LCAPs are to be adopted by the local governing board on or before July 1, 2015, and within five days transmit the adopted LCAP to the County Superintendent of Schools.

Refer to Informational Bulletin No. 4126 dated June 1, 2015, for additional information.

Maintenance of Effort (MOE)

Regional Occupational Centers/Programs (ROC/P's) are absorbed into the LCFF base for districts and county offices. While the grade 9-12 augmentation is not specifically identified as a Career Technical Education (CTE) grant, it does represent the funding allocated to these programs in prior years. Beginning in 2014-15, a CTE component is required in the LCAP.

A two-year MOE provision was enacted as part of the 2013 Budget Act and requires that LEAs spend at least the same amount on ROC/Ps in 2013-14 and 2014-15 as was spent in 2012-13. In addition, districts that are members of an ROC/P JPA must continue to forward the same amount to the JPA as they did in 2012-13 unless otherwise agreed upon by both parties.

Federal CTE funds, including Perkins funding, are not part of LCFF and continue to be subject to all existing compliance and reporting requirements.

Career Technical Education

The May Revision proposes \$400 million in 2015-16, \$300 million for 2016-17 and \$200 million in 2017-18 for a new transitional Career Technical Education (CTE) Grant Program. The state to local match ratio requirement will increase each year from 1:1 in 2015-16, 1:1.5 in 2016-17 and 2:1 in 2017-18. The expectation is that LEAs will use LCFF and the 9-12 grade span adjustment (GSA) funds to support the program beginning 2018-19

Adult Education

The 2015-16 May Revision maintained the \$500 million in new funding for an Adult Education Block Grant dedicated to funding adult education programs in 2015-16. The new funds will supplant the LCFF funds school districts may have been allocating for Adult Education programs in the past year(s). While the exact amount required to preserve existing Adult Education programs is still to be determined, current estimates are \$300-350 million. The remainder of the grant funds will be distributed to the consortiums to support programs and services such as child care or career counseling and for administration (which will be capped at 5 percent).

In the initial year, 2015-16, funding will be provided directly to K-12 school districts in the amount equal to their 2014-15 MOE expenditures. Future allocations will be distributed per the direction of local allocation committees.

Adult Education Consortium

The May Revision, consistent with a number of recommendations made by the education community, included the following adjustments:

- Elimination of allocation boards within each consortium with the requirement to create rules and procedures regarding how it will make decisions based on State guidelines;
- Require more robust, but less frequent, planning with the requirement to develop a comprehensive plan for adult education in its region at least once every three years, with annual updates;
- Provide greater funding certainty by requiring the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI) and the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges to certify maintenance of effort (MOE) levels by July 30, and determine the allocation of any remaining block grant funds to the consortia by October 30. Beginning in 2016, the SPI and Chancellor will provide preliminary allocations to the consortia following the release of the Governor's Budget, and final allocations, along with preliminary projections for two future years, shortly after each Budget is enacted; and,
- Integrate Adult Education Programs and funding streams by requiring the SPI and Chancellor to develop and submit a plan to distribute Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Title II and Perkins funding using the consortia structure in future years by January 31, 2016.

Additional information on the Adult Education Consortium can be found at:

http://ab86.ccco.edu/Home.aspx

EDUCATION PROTECTION ACCOUNT (EPA)

Proposition 30 provided for the following temporary tax increases.

- Temporary increase in the State sales tax of 0.25 percent through 2016
- Temporary increase in the income tax rate for the State's wealthiest taxpayers of up to 3.0 percent through 2018

It is projected that these tax increases will generate up to \$8.0 billion per year through 2018. The EPA was established as the vehicle to collect and disburse funds generated by the temporary tax increases. School districts, charter schools, county offices of education and community colleges will receive revenues from the EPA on a quarterly basis.

County Office 2015-16 Budget Assumptions and Recommendations Page 6

EPA funds should be accounted for in Resource Code 1400 - Education Protection Account, and Object Code 8012 - Education Protection Account Entitlement. Districts may not use EPA funds for administrative costs. A complete list of function codes that are prohibited for EPA expenditures can be found on the CDE's website at:

http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/ac/sacsfaq.asp

Similar to local property taxes, districts' State Aid is reduced by one dollar for each dollar received from the EPA. The EPA becomes, in essence, a third revenue source in addition to local property tax revenue and State Aid.

Districts will also need to consider the impact of the ongoing quarterly receipts of EPA, as EPA revenues will be distributed at the end of each quarter in September, December, March and June.

Reporting Requirements

Proposition 30 required that each agency use the new revenues for any purpose excluding administration. The Governing Board shall make the spending determination of these funds annually in an open session of a public meeting. Furthermore, each agency must annually publish on its website an accounting of how much money was received from the EPA and how that money was spent.

RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

Cash management challenges make it even more imperative that districts consider reserve levels greater than the minimums required within the State's Criteria and Standards. Reserves are especially critical in order to have sufficient cash to meet payroll and other obligations.

Senate Bill (SB) 858 (Chapter 32/Statutes 2014) establishes new transparency requirements and contains a provision that would place a hard cap on the combined assigned and unassigned unrestricted ending fund balance. Additional details of the new reserve requirements are listed below.

New Public Hearing Requirement for Excess Reserves Beginning In 2015-16

New for 2015-16, districts that propose to adopt a budget that includes a combined assigned (Object Codes 9770-9788) and unassigned (Object Codes 9789-9790) ending fund balance in excess of the minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties (REU) must provide the following information at the public hearing for their proposed budget prior to budget adoption:

- The minimum REU level required in each year identified in the budget
- The amount of assigned and unassigned ending fund balance that exceeds the minimum REU in each year
- Reasons for the REU being greater than the minimum

Pursuant to EC Section 42127(a)(2)(C), the district's governing board must include this information each time it files an adopted or revised budget with the county superintendent, as well as maintain and make it available for public review. While there is no state sanctioned format for providing this information, districts that fall under this requirement should expect to provide and submit the information in writing in a similar manner and format as the budget narrative and assumptions that are normally submitted with their adopted budget. In addition, the Budget Certification (Form CB) from the State SACS software includes a check box for affected districts to certify their compliance with this new requirement.

County Offices continue to reinforce the need for reserves over the minimum reserve requirements. The experience of the most recent recession has clearly demonstrated these minimum levels are not sufficient to protect educational programs from severe disruption in an economic downturn. The typical 3.0 percent reserve minimum represents less than two weeks of payroll for many districts. Many LEAs have established reserve policies calling for higher than minimum reserves, recognizing their duty to maintain fiscal solvency. The adequacy of a given reserve level should be assessed based on the LEA's own specific circumstances.

There are multiple benefits to carrying higher than minimum reserves. These include:

- Financial flexibility to absorb unanticipated expenditures without significant disruption to educational programs
- Protection against exposure to significant one-time outlays such as disasters, lawsuits or material audit findings
- Protection against the volatility of state revenues
- Protection against the volatility of property tax revenues for basic aid districts
- Cash management / avoiding the cost of borrowing for cash flow purposes
- Protection against declining enrollment
- Protection against the expiration of parcel taxes

This is not an exhaustive list. Of all the reasons for carrying higher than minimum reserves, protecting against state revenue volatility is one of the most compelling. This is especially true during LCFF implementation, because gap percentage funding is directly tied to the State's ongoing ability to fund the LCFF through Proposition 98 growth. Most importantly, by providing a buffer from volatile state revenues, maintaining higher than minimum reserves creates a more stable educational environment for students.

County Office May Exempt Districts from Reserve Cap

If a reserve cap is implemented in a future fiscal year, the County Office may waive the prohibition, pursuant to specified conditions, for up to two consecutive fiscal years within a three-year period if the school district provides documentation indicating that extraordinary

fiscal circumstances substantiate the need for the additional reserves. Documentation indicating extraordinary fiscal circumstances may include, but is not limited to, multi-year infrastructure or technology projects. As a condition of receiving a waiver, a school district shall do all of the following:

- Provide a statement that substantiates the need for an assigned and unassigned ending fund balance in excess of the minimum recommended REU;
- Identify the revenue or expense funding amounts in the budget adopted by the school district that are associated with the extraordinary fiscal circumstances; and
- Provide documentation that no other fiscal resources are available to fund the extraordinary fiscal circumstances.

CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS

Principal Apportionment Deferrals

In the May Revision, the Governor proposes to eliminate all cross-year deferrals in 2014-15. Remember, deferral buy-downs constitute one-time cash and not additional revenues. Once all deferrals are eliminated, the Principal Apportionment Payment Schedule will revert back to the traditional "5-5-9" schedule.

Cash Management Planning

Increased emphasis must be put on cash flow analysis and monitoring. Districts must incorporate the updated payment schedule in their cash flow projection. In addition, in order to identify and assist those districts that will experience cash deficiencies, districts should project the cash flow for a two-year period to reflect 2015-16 and 2016-17.

It is important to plan ahead and establish a cash management plan that ensures sufficient cash. Districts' cash flows should reflect implementation of their cash management plan (e.g., short-term borrowing).

Districts should ensure they have appropriate Board resolutions to authorize inter-fund and County Treasurer borrowing, and that the borrowing caps are appropriately set (i.e., are high enough to meet identified cash needs). For additional information regarding cash borrowing, please refer to Informational Bulletin No. 4111, dated May 27, 2015, issued by the Division of School Financial Services, which is available on our website.

Cash management challenges make it even more imperative that districts consider reserve levels greater than the minimums required within the State's Criteria and Standards. Reserves are especially critical in order to have sufficient cash to meet payroll and other obligations.

CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS

Most State categorical programs have been incorporated into the 2015-16 LCFF. However, some State categorical programs remain. Federal programs are entirely outside the LCFF and remain with their own program descriptions and restrictions.

Categorical Flexibility

- Education Code Section 17070.75 requires school agencies that participated in the School Facility Grant Program to establish a Routine Restricted Maintenance Account (RRMA) and make General Fund contributions to the RRMA for 20 years from receipt of the program's funds. The flexible reduction to one percent of required contributions into the RRMA expires at the end of 2014-15 and returns to three percent in 2015-16.
- For 2015-16, deferred maintenance funding continues to be folded into the LCFF base grant with no restrictions. However, districts must still maintain safe, clean and functional environments for student success and comply with Williams requirements. Districts should seriously consider setting aside funds in their budget for deferred maintenance activity.
- The flexibility to reduce the number of instructional days from 180 to 175 sunsets on June 30, 2015, and districts must revert back to a minimum of 180 instructional days in 2015-16. Previous guidance was, once districts were fully funded at their LCFF target they must revert back to 180 days or be subject to a significant fiscal penalty.

Common Core Implementation Grant

The 2013-14 Budget Act provided \$1.25 billion in one-time restricted monies to assist school districts, county offices and charter schools in implementing the new Common Core State Standards (CCSS). Funds were distributed to all schools on a per ADA basis outside the LCFF calculation.

Funding for Common Core implementation was estimated to be \$200 per ADA for all school districts, county offices and charter schools. Districts may encumber funds any time during 2013-14 and 2014-15. Funds can be used for professional development, instructional materials and investments in technology to support Common Core implementation and must be used by June 30, 2015.

The adoption of a two-year spending plan is required prior to the expenditure of the Common Core funding. Per Assembly Bill (AB) 86, Section 85, LEAs are required to "Develop and adopt a plan delineating how funds ... shall be spent. The plan shall be explained in a public meeting of the governing board ... before its adoption in a subsequent public meeting." In addition, LEAs will be required to submit detailed expenditure reports on the use of the funds to the CDE on or before July 1, 2015.

Mandated Block Grant

The May Revision provides \$219.5 million to fund the Mandated Block Grant (MBG) and is available to districts and charter schools. There is no change in the per ADA rate from 2014-15 to 2015-16 as indicated in the chart below. The rates are now separated into grade span-specific rates with grades 9-12 receiving higher amounts based on the inclusion of the Graduation Mandate.

Grade Span	School District Rates	Charter School Rates	COE Rates
K-8	\$28	\$14	\$29
9-12	\$56	\$42	\$57

The MBG is optional and replaces the claiming process for districts that elect to participate. However, agencies must continue to perform mandated activities regardless of their participation in the MBG. Participating agencies will be subject to compliance audits as part of their annual audits.

A complete list of programs covered under the block grant can be found on the Mandate Block Grant Request for Application Web page at:

http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/r14/mandatebg12rfa.asp

School districts have the option to decline participation in the block grant and continue to claim reimbursements under the existing mandate claims process with the same mandate requirements. However, payment of current-year claims is again deferred. However, the Governor intends to pay down the obligation over the next few years, and completely by 2017-18. Districts opting to receive block grant funding will need to elect to participate in the block grant by August 30 of each year. Funds are typically received in November.

One-time Unrestricted Funds (CCSS Implementation)

The 2015-16 May Revision includes \$3.5 billion, an increase of \$2.4 billion from the 2015-16 Proposed Budget, in one-time discretionary funds to pay down a portion of the debt owed to LEAs for mandated cost reimbursement. The intent is that the LEA prioritizes these funds for CCSS implementation, but this is not a requirement, and the funds may be used for any purpose as determined by the district's Governing Board. However, funds received are also offsetting to any outstanding mandate claims on a dollar-for-dollar basis. The distribution of the mandate reimbursement is on a per-ADA basis, currently estimated at \$601 per ADA, and will be apportioned regardless of whether or not the LEA had any prior year claims. We recognize these new funds are included in the proposed budget but if districts choose to recognize this additional revenue they should have a contingency or alternative plan in place should these funds fail to materialize.

Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act

The California Clean Energy Jobs Act was approved by voters in 2012. For years 2013-14 through 2017-18, the measure requires half of the increased revenues, up to \$550 million per year, be used to support energy efficient projects.

As a result of reduced revenue estimates, the 2015-16 May Revision decreases the amount of energy efficient funds available to \$313.4 million, a decrease of \$6.7 million from the January Proposal. LEAs should not commit the anticipated funds until they are familiar with the final guidelines and the criteria to enable them to apply for and collect the funds.

Lottery

Beginning in 2015-16, LEAs will no longer receive the per ADA Lottery Funding for Adult or ROC/P ADA. The CDE recently revised its projection of lottery revenues for 2014-15 to reflect the Lottery Commission's updated lottery sales projection of \$5.1 billion. The projected rates for 2014-15 are now \$128 per ADA unrestricted and \$34 per ADA restricted. The projected rates for 2015-16 and 2016-17 are \$128 per ADA unrestricted and \$34 per ADA restricted.

K-3 Grade Span Adjustment (GSA)

The former K-3 CSR program became a 10.4 percent K-3 GSA augmentation to the LCFF base grant to support lowering class sizes in grades kindergarten through three. Unless there is a collectively bargained alternative ratio in place, districts must ensure all school site average class enrollment ratios meet the target ratio of 24:1 (rounded to the nearest half or whole integer), or that they are making progress to close the K-3 class size gap in proportion to progress toward closing the LCFF entitlement gap, as a condition of apportionment. Districts should plan to reduce class sizes in grades K-3 by 53.08 percent of the difference between the current year (2014-15) class size and the school site average target of 24 students per class. Districts that fail to meet the above requirements at each school site will lose 100 percent of this additional funding in that fiscal year. The K-3 GSA requirement has been included in the 2014-15 Audit Guide.

CALPADS

CALPADS data have become critical to funding, accountability, and assessment functions. The unduplicated count of disadvantaged students produced by CALPADS feeds the supplemental and concentration grant portions of the LCFF. Therefore it is extremely important that districts ensure that this data is up-to-date and accurate.

Beginning in 2013-14, AB 97 (Chapter 47/Statutes 2013) requires County Offices of Education (COEs) to "review and validate reported English Learner, foster youth and free or reduced-price meal eligible pupil data for school districts and charter schools under its jurisdiction to ensure the data is reported accurately." To assist COE's, CALPADS have made available school-level reports (1.17 and 1.18) that display the aggregate unduplicated counts of disadvantaged students for each LEA and charter school within the county. Additional information regarding the data collection system can be found on the following CDE website:

SPECIAL EDUCATION

In response to the Statewide Special Task Force recommendations released in March 2015, the May Revision proposes \$60.1 million in Prop. 98 funds (\$50.1 million ongoing and \$10 million one-time) in 2015-16 to implement selected program changes and makes targeted investments that improve service delivery and outcomes for all disabled students, with a particular emphasis on early education.

Significant Adjustments:

- \$30 million in Prop. 98 to increase opportunities for infants and toddlers to receive early interventions. This funding will augment the Early Education Program for Infants and Toddlers with Exceptional Needs;
- \$12.1 million in Prop. 98 to provide access to an additional 2,500 children in State Preschool. Priority for this funding is for children with exceptional needs;
- \$6 million in Prop. 98 to increase the State Preschool reimbursement rates by 1%. The proposal would also require the State Preschool programs to:
 - o Provide parents with information about accessing local resources for the screening and treatment of developmental disabilities
 - o Provide teachers training on behavioral strategies and targeted interventions to improve kindergarten readiness;
- A one-time investment of \$10 million in Prop. 98 to provide technical assistance and build statewide resources to assist LEAs interested in implementing school-wide, datadriven systems of support and intervention. School-wide tiered systems provide scientifically based practices and interventions that are proportional to a student's needs. Research indicates schools that have implemented tiered systems are more successful at improving disabled student outcomes;
- The May Revision proposes an increase of \$1.7 million in federal IDEA funds for state-level activities to expand the current Alternative Dispute Resolution Grant Program to all SELPAs in the state. On a limited scale, this program has proven successful in resolving special education disputes at the local level;
- An increase of \$500,000 in federal IDEA state-level activity funds to develop resources and provide technical assistance to LEAs for implementation of the federally required State Systemic Improvement Plan for students with disabilities.

The Governor's proposal continues to fund Special Education outside of the LCFF.

Extraordinary Costs

SELPAs with single placement extraordinary costs are eligible to submit for costs exceeding the threshold pursuant to EC Section 56836.21. The current threshold is \$74,385.27. If claims exceed the appropriation, the reimbursements will be prorated.

Claims are filed using the CDE-issued Revenue Software. Claims for 2014-15 must be filed by November 30, 2015, to ensure funding. These claims require submittal to and certification by the County Office in advance of submittal to the CDE.

BASIC AID SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Basic aid districts are defined as districts having property taxes in excess of their revenue limit entitlement. The LCFF language states the determination of a basic aid district is made exclusive of funds received through EPA and further excludes revenues received through the LCFF hold harmless calculation. Under the LCFF, a basic aid district is defined as a district that does not receive state aid to fund the base entitlement for transition to the LCFF or any portion of the LCFF at full implementation.

Under LCFF, basic aid districts will receive minimum state funding of no less than the amount received in 2013-14. The minimum State funding amount will be calculated based on the categorical allocation net of 8.92 percent fair share reduction.

Basic Aid Districts Reserves

It is important for basic aid districts to carry higher-than-minimum reserves. Dependency on property taxes means dependency on assessed property values. Greater-than-minimum reserves provide a buffer in the event that assessed values fall short of projections. Due to the continuing economic uncertainties and their impact on assessed values, reserves are more critical than ever before. Moreover, basic aid districts whose student population is growing do not receive additional funding. For these reasons and the growing loss from "fair share" reductions, higher-than-minimum reserves are important.

Proposition 30 Impact on Basic Aid Districts

Proposition 30 guarantees a minimum of \$200 per ADA in revenue from the EPA. The EPA is the vehicle for collecting and distributed revenues from the temporary tax increases authorized by Proposition 30. This means that basic aid districts will receive at least \$200 per ADA in revenue from the EPA. However, some districts may become basic aid and receive no State Aid as a result of the implementation of the EPA. In this case, the \$200 per ADA minimum may simply replace State Aid and not represent additional funding.

CALIFORNIA SCHOOL-BASED MEDI-CAL ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES

The California School-Based Medi-Cal Administrative Activities (SMAA) program provides Federal reimbursement of specific activities performed by school districts serving Medi-Cal eligible students. The Federal oversight agency, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid

Services (CMS), conducted a review of school MAA claiming units in California and found them to out of compliance with Federal regulations, guidelines and standards. CMS notifies the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) of the non-compliance. CMS's determination that payments for other California MAA claiming districts would be deferred until the California MAA plan was revised and additional documentation was received.

On October 7, 2014, DHCS shared an approval letter from CMS that detailed the methodology and timeline that DHCS had to abide by to resolve the deferred invoices (from FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12) and the interim invoices (from FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14). This plan allows DHCS to make interim payments to LEAs; the basis of the payments is a sliding scale of payments using a set of percentages that are applied based upon the value of the placeholder invoices:

Deferral Resolution (FY 2009-10 Quarter 4- FY 2011-12)

- Invoices Under \$25,000 CMS has released these deferrals at 100 percent and are not requiring backcasting
- Invoices between \$25,001-\$50,000 Claiming units have a choice between the following two options that must be selected prior to payment:
 - 1. Accept an interim payment of 75 percent and agree to backcast
 - 2. Accept a settlement amount of either 75 percent of the claimed amount or a flat \$25,000, whichever is higher
- Invoices over \$50,000 CMS has approved an interim payment of 40 percent of the claimed amount and require backcasting

Deferral Resolution for Claiming Units No Longer Participating in the Program

- Invoices Under \$25,000 CMS has released these deferrals at 100 percent
- Invoices between \$25,001-\$50,000 CMS will release 75 percent of the claimed amount with a 5 percent reduction
- Invoices over \$50,000 CMS will release 40 percent of the claimed amount with a 5 percent reduction

Interim Claiming Resolution (FY 2012/13 – FY 2013-14)

- Invoices Under \$25,000 Interim payment of 90 percent subject to backcasting
- Invoices between \$25,001-\$50,000 Interim payment of 75 percent subject to backcasting
- Invoices over \$50,000 Interim payment of 40 percent subject to backcasting

The plan also requires DHCS to submit a backcasting proposal utilizing statewide Random Moment Time Survey (RMTS) results from the first four quarters of RMTS surveying. DHCS conferred with an ad hoc committee of RMTS stakeholders and submitted a final backcasting proposal on April 1, 2015. [Backcasting is the process whereby past invoice amounts that utilized the flawed worker log survey methodology to generate the reimbursement percentages will be adjusted by replacing those reimbursement percentages with statistically valid percentages from the first four quarters of California's RMTS survey results.] As of this writing, DHCS is still awaiting formal approval from CMS on the submitted backcasting methodology.

Please contact Octavio Castelo at <u>Castelo Octavio@lacoe.edu</u> or (562) 922-6144, your Regional MAA Coordinator, for further information regarding the new survey methodology.

TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN

School districts are currently eligible to collect ADA for these transitional kindergarten students. The TK program is not required to be operated at every school site, just by the school district so that it meets the needs of the school district. Students enrolled in TK must be considered when calculating and achieving the average class size goal of 24:1.

PROGRAMS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE LCFF

The May Revision proposes categorical programs outside of the LCFF received a decrease of \$18.4 million based on ADA growth and a reduction in COLA from 1.58 percent to 1.02 percent.

- Federally funded programs
- Quality Education Investment Act (QEIA)
- Special Education
- After School Education and Safety (ASES)
- Child Nutrition
- State Testing
- State Preschool
- Emergency Repair
- Mandate Block Grant (MBG)
- District of Choice credit
- Charter school basic aid supplement
- Court-ordered credit
- Foster Youth
- Adults in Correctional Facilities

SAN MARINO UNFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 BUDGET ITEMS

	z									Notes					emental Grant	
:	Σ								Total	\$80,538	\$80,538	\$161,076	\$80,538	\$80,538	\$53,123 Supplemental Grant funds	\$147,216
	٠								Health	Senefits Si \$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$21,054
`	۷ ا								Statutory	8enefits \$8,538	\$8,538	\$17,076	\$8,538	\$8,538	\$5,123	\$15,716
-	2								Projected	\$40,000 \$60,000	\$60,000	\$120,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$36,000	\$110,446
	-									1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	09.0	1.00
п									LCAP State	4,5,7,8	4,5,7,8	4,5,7,8	2,4,5,6,7,8	2,4,5,7,8	2,3,4,5,7,8	3,5,6,7,8
£1.)										Add an additional TK Teacher	Add two part-time Reading Specialist positions (certificated)	Provide TOSAs for each Elementary School with specialization in math and English language arts	Add a TOSA position to provide support for TK - 12 STEM instruction	Provide for an additional teacher (1.00 FTE) to support 6th grade English, social studies, math and science classroom instruction	Provide a 6 period per day TOSA to support English Learners program, assessment, and accountability.	Provide for a full-time Administrator of Athletics - based on 195 day work year
0		ards							1)-141-1	Teacher, Transitional	Reading Specialists (Certificated)	Teachers on Special Assignment, Elementary	Teacher on Special Assignment, STEM	Teacher, 6th grade	Teacher on Special Assignment, Instructional Services	Administrator, Athletics
0	1 - Williams Compliance	2 - Academic Content and Performance Standards	3 - Parental involvement	4 - Pupil Achievement	5 - Pupil Engagement	6 - School Climate	7 - Access and Enrollment	8 - Pupil Outcomes	I TAD ACTIONS/SEDVICES	Provide each elementary school with a dedicated TK instructional classroom.	Enhance support for elementary remediation with a focus on student (services	To support the implementation of Common Teachers on Special Core State Standards in math and English. Assignment, Provide embedded professional development for teachers through a coaching modeling teacher training framework.	Provide for a STEM TOSA to coach teachers Teacher on Special on next generation science standards, Assignment, STEM technology and math and to develop a comprehensive activity and problem-based STEM curriculum for teachers to engage student learning.	To lower 6th grade class sizes expand the capability for teachers to collaborate and time for curriculum planning	To coordinate and facilitate the District's English Language Programs, Testing and Assessment. To support and enhance the District's transition to the Common Core, professional development implementation, and increased assessment with the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress System (CASPP) and LCAP accountability.	To support the High School's athletic programs and services
В	LCAP Priorities:								level or Denartment		Elementary	Elementary	District	Middle School	District - Instructional Services	High School
4									SMUSD LCAP GOAL		r.	s	ın	v	7	თ
_	7	3	4	ς,	9	7	80	σ Ç	: #	12	55	4	ည်	91	2	18

6.3.15

SAN MARINO UNFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 BUDGET ITEMS

										· ·					
Z	2									SetoN					
W	ă.									Total Sal/Ren	\$60,619	\$18,220	\$74,597	\$96,475	\$933,478
-	,									Health Benefits	\$12,000	N/A	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$141,054
×										Statutory Benefits	\$8,619	\$3,230	\$11,097	\$14,975	\$109,988
ſ										Projected Salary	\$40,000	\$14,990	\$51,500	005,69\$	\$682,436
										E	1.00	n/a	1.00	1.00	10.60
r										LCAP State Priority	3,4,5,6,7,8	4,5,7,8	2,3,4,7,8	2,3,4,7,8	
ш	77.4444									LCAP BUDGETED EXPENDITURES	Hire a full-time community liaison	Increase Elementary and Middle School Computer Lab Coordinators from 10 months to 11 months	Add an additional Technology Service Technician to provide support for the Elementary Schools	Add a Systems Analyst position	
D		fards		-		***************************************				Position(s)	Community Liaison	Computer Lab Coordinators	Technology Service Technicians - Elementary Schools	Systems Analyst	
2	1 - Williams Compliance	2 - Academic Content and Performance Standards	3 - Parental Involvement	4 - Pupil Achievement	5 - Pupil Engagement	6 - School Climate	7 - Access and Enrollment	8 - Pupil Outcomes		LCAP ACTIONS/SERVICES	., ±	District's	District Technology/ To provide support, management, and Elementary Elementary schools' technology systems and equipment and provide training opportunities (computers, chromebooks, document cameras, copiers, etc.)	District - Technology To administer and support informations systems related to instructional Technology servers, systems, centralized device management (including Chromebooks, iPads, notebooks), software and applications deployment, and oversight of the District's Technology help desk system.	TOTAL - 2015-16 BUDGET ITEMS:
۵	LCAP Priorities:									Level or Department	District	Elementary/Middle School	District Technology/	District - Technology	
ζ.										SMUSD LCAP GOAL	10	21	12	23	
Ī	7	ო	4	5	9	7	80	0	0	#	8	21	55	23	24

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 Proposed Budget Assumptions

BUDGET ASSUMPTIONS	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
REVENUES				
Projected CBEDS Enrollment	3,142	3,142	3,142	3,142
Projected Funded Average Daily Attendance	3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92
COLA (%)	0.85%	1.02%	1.60%	2.48%
LCFF Gap Funding Percentage	29.97%	53.08%	37.40%	36.70%
LCFF Gap Funding	\$1,893,212	\$2,445,775	\$986,686	\$839,553
LCFF Funding - TOTAL	\$20,786,403	\$23,232,186	\$24,218,861	\$25,058,426
K-3 Grade Span Adjustment (per ADA)	\$729	\$737	\$749	\$767
9-12 Grade Span Adjustment (per ADA)	\$221	\$223	\$227	\$232
Unduplicated Student Count	467	467	467	467
Unduplicated Student Percentage (Average)	14.86%	13.38%	14.86%	14.86%
Proportionality Funding for Unduplicated Students	\$293,919	\$486,586	\$581,813	\$647,047
Education Protection Account (EPA)	\$3,650,234	\$3,650,234	\$3,650,234	\$3,650,234
Unrestricted Lottery (per ADA)	\$128	\$128	\$128	\$128
Restricted Lottery (per ADA)	\$34	\$34	\$34	\$34
Mandated Block Grant	\$322,436	\$118,183	\$118,183	\$118,183
Discretionary One-Time Funding (est.)	90	\$1,800,000	\$0	8:0
LACOE ROP/CTE Funding	\$387,139	80	80	\$0
Special Education Funding	\$2,780,278	\$2,630,278	\$2,630,278	\$2,630,278
Parcel Tax Revenues (Measure R) 2019	\$1,532,894	\$1,575,000	\$1,625,000	\$1,675,000
Parcel Tax Revenues (Measure E) 2021	\$3,939,710	\$3,939,710	\$4,000,000	\$4,075,000
San Marino Schools Foundation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
San Marino Schools Foundation Excess Contribution	\$1,507,289	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
Use of District Facilities	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
Interest	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$80,000

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 Proposed Budget Assumptions

BIIDGET ASSIMPTIONS	2044 45	() L 100		
	CI-4107	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
EXPENDITURES/TRANSFERS OUT				
Certificated SMTA Salaries	Step & Column; 3.25% Schedule Adjustment; 190 Day Work Days and Realignment of Steps 14, 15, 17, 19 by 0.75%	Step & Column; 3.50% Schedule Adjustment; 188 Day Work Days	ПВD	TBD
Classified CSEA/Non-Represented Salaries	Step & Longevity; 3.25% COLA Adjustment and Realignment of Steps 7 and 8 by 1.00%	TBD.	CBT.	TBD
Management & Administrative Salaries	Step Adjustment; 3.25% on-schedule adjustment and 1.71% off-schedule adjustment	TBD	TBD	TBD
Health Benefits - Major Medical	Offset premium increase from Health Benefits Reserve Fund. District to contribute 90% for Employee Only; 70% for Two Party and Family Coverage	TBD	TBD	TBD
Health Benefits - Other Medical	District to fund Delta Dental (employee only), Delta Care, Vision, Life and Employee Assistance Program	TBD	TBD	TBD
Status of Bargaining Unit Negotiations	Settled	SMTA Settled/CSEA Pending	Pending	Pending
STRS Employer share	8.88%	10.73%	12,58%	14.43%
PERS Employer share	11,771%	11.847%	13.050%	15.000%
School Sites - Support Allocation	\$200 per student	\$200 per student	\$200 per student	\$200 per student
School Sites - Textbook Allocation	\$50 per student	\$50 per student	\$50 per student	\$50 per student
School Sites - SPSA Allocation	\$75 per student	\$75 per student	\$75 per student	\$75 per student
School Sites - Restricted Lottery Allocation	\$25 per student	\$25 per student	\$25 per student	\$25 per student
School Sites - TIIG Allocation	\$40,000 per site	\$40,000 per site	\$40,000 per site	\$40,000 per site
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	\$15,125	\$15,500	\$15,500	\$15,500
Reserve for Economic Uncertainties	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 LINE ITEM BUDGET SUMMARY and LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PROJECTION

| Projected
2017-18 | 3 053 21 | 7,44 | | 3,060.65 |
 | | 727 | 693 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.86% | | 100 | 707.32
 | 07.7.0 | 07.01.0 | 3,174.05 | 4,010,0 | 2.480%
 | | 00 750 5 | 7.405.00 | 7,485.00
 | 8.931.00 | | | 5,215,778 | 5,069,441 | 3,971,624
 | 10,485,530 | 24,742,373 | | 542,514 | 272,382
 | 814,896 | | | 5,111,454 | 14.85%
 | 796,867 | | 727,72 | 161.924 | 189,651 | 26.506.482
 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--
---	--	--	--
--
--
--
--
--
--

---	---	---	--
--	--	--	--
--	--	--	-------------------
--	--	--	--
--	--	--	--
--	--	---	--
--	---	--	--
--			
Restricted			
 | | 400000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
 | - | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 |

 | | Proj. NPS/ County | ADA - Annual | 0
 | 0.00 | 28.0 | 19.06 | | |
 | | | |
 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | +
 | | | | - | |
 |
Special Education	88.07	7.44		95.51
 | | | | |
 | | |

 | | Proj. P2 ADA - | Special Ed | 19.22
 | 45.47 | 74.0 | 80.63 | |
 | 500 | 170.00 | 10100 | 187.00
 | 216.00 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | Control of | | |
 | | | | |
| Unrestricted | 2.965.14 | | | 2,965.14 |
 | | 727 | 693 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.86% | Proj. P2 ADA - | Regular
COC OO | 000.33
 | 90.100 | 430.00 | 2.974.25 | | 2.480%
 | osed Vd | 7 108 00 | 7 204 00 | 7 524 00
 | 8,715.00 | | | 5,215,778 | 5,069,441 | 3,971,624
 | 10,485,530 | 24,742,373 | | 542,514 | 272,382
 | 814,896 | | | 5,111,454 | 14,00%
 | 700,001 | | 27,727 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 26.506.482
 |
| Projected
2016-17 | 3 053 21 | 7.44 | 1 | 3,060.65 |
 | | 727 | 693 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.86% | | 00 505 | 22.707
 | 517.20 | 02.010 | 3 073 92 | 20:0.0 | 1.600%
 | | 7 408 00 | 7.204.00 | 7,504,00
 | 8,715.00 | | | 5,089,875 | 4,946,853 | 3,875,270
 | 10,231,933 | 24,143,931 | | 529,075 | 266,512
 | 795,587 | | | 4,987,904 | 14.85%
 | (41,£U | | 727,727 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 25.870.372
 |
Restricted				-
 | | - | | |
 | - | |

 | | Proj. MPS/ County | AUA - Amual | 1.1.1
 | 600 | 0.00 | 19,06 | | |
 | | | |
 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | |
 |
Special Education	88.07	7.44		95.51
 | | | | |
 | | |

 | | Proj. P2 ADA - | 40 00 | 27.62
 | 15.47 | 24.45 | 80.61 | |
 | 4 50 | 412.00 | 448.00 | 118 00
 | 137.00 | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | |
 |
| Inrestricted | 2.965.14 | | - | 2,965.14 |
 | | (2) | 693 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.86% | Proj. P2 ADA - | ASS OD | 651.99
 | 408.86 | 4 400.00 | 2,974,25 | | 1.600%
 | DV Race | 7 083 00 | 7 189 00 | 7 403 00
 | 8,578.00 | | | 5,089,875 | 4,946,853 | 3,875,270
 | 10,231,933 | 24,143,931 | | 529,075 | 266,512
 | 795,587 | | | 4,987,904 | 14.85%
 | cu3,147 | | 727,72 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 25.870.372
 |
| Projected
2015-16 | 3.053.21 | 7.44 | • | 3,060.65 |
 | | 1721 | 693 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.85% | | 707 99 | 677.79
 | 515.26 | 2777 | 3.073.92 | | 1.020%
 | | 7 083 00 | 7 180 00 | 7 403 00
 | 8,578.00 | | | 5,009,948 | 4,868,966 | 3,814,470
 | 10,071,087 | 23,764,471 | | 521,295 | 261,815
 | 783,110 | | | 4,909,516 | 13.38%
 | 000,000 | | 27,727 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 25,394,125
 |
| Restricted | | The state of the s | | - | |
 | *************************************** | | | | - |
 |

 | | Proj. NPS/ County | 1 4 4 | 700 | 0.00
 | 30.05 | 19,06 | | | |
 | | | |
 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
| Special
Education | 88.07 | 7.44 | | 95.51 |
 | | | | |
 | | |

 | | | 10.99 | 27.02
 | 15.47 | 24.40 | 80.61 | |
 | A 102 | 72 00 | 73.00 | 75.00
 | 87.00 | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | |
 |
| Inrestricted | 2,965.14 | | r | 2,965.14 |
 | 100 | 17) | 283 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | | 467

 | 14.86% | Proj. P2 ADA - | 686 00 | 651.88
 | 498.86 | 1 136 57 | 2,974.25 | | 1.020%
 | DV Base | 7 011 00 | 7 118 00 | 7 328 00
 | 8,491.00 | | | 5,009,948 | 4,868,966 | 3,814,470
 | 10,071,087 | 23,764,471 | | 521,295 | 261.815
 | 783,110 | | | 4,909,516 | 13.30%
 | 000,000 | | 27,727 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 25,394,125
 |
| Estimated
Actuals
2014-15 | 3,054.86 | 9.27 | <u>-</u> | 3,064.13 |
 | I | 171 | 583 | 528 | 1,194
 | 3,142 | **** | 467

 | 14.86% | | - 207 32 | 6777 28
 | 515.26 | 1 174 08 | 3,073,92 | | 0.850%
 | | 7 044 00 | 7 116 00 | 7 328 00
 | 8,491.00 | | | 4,959,021 | 4,819,524 | 3,775,825
 | 9,968,943 | 23,523,313 | | 515,636 | 259.467
 | 775,103 | | | 4,859,683 | 14.50%
 | (44,145 | | 727,727 | 161,924 | 189,651 | 25.210.216
 |
| | scted P2 Average Daily Attendance (ADA) | Plus Annual Non-Public School (NPS) ADA | Los Angeles County Sp. Ed. ADA | If Projected Revenue Limit ADA | De Envelope Designation
 | to V o | | 0 × 4 × 30 | Jes / - 8 | Jes 9 - 12
 | r CBEDS Enrollment Projection | | uplicated ELL, Free/Reduced & Foster Youth Count

 | sent over CBEDS | scfed Funded Average Daily Attendance (500) | Jac K _ 3 | b Sel
 | les 7 - 8 | Joe 0 - 12 | I Funded ADA Projection | | Projected COLA
 | ected Base Grant | 40 K - 3 | 100 L A | 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
 | Grades 9 - 12 | | TARGET BASE GRANT | des K - 3 | des 4 - 6 | des / - 8
 | des 9 - 12 | (GET - Base Grant | TARGET GRADE SPAN ADJUSTIMENTS | 3 Augmentation (Target Base Grant x 10.4%) (\$729) |
 | | | GET SUPPLEMENTAL GRANT | get Base + Target Augmentation Grant x 20% | Iuplicated Count Percentage - Average
 | יסבו - סעףטיבווופווימו סומווי | GET TRANSPORTATION & TIIG GRANTS | Transportation Grant | TilG Grant | TARGET - Transportation/TIIG Grants | TARGET - LCFF FUNDING
 |
| | Special Restricted Education Restricted Res | Special Restricted Projected Special Restricted Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Restricted Projected Special Restricted Projected Projec | Special Special Special Restricted Education Projected Education Projected Education Projected Education Projected Projected | Special Spec | Estimated Actuals Actuals Special Actuals Projected Actuals Projected Actuals Projected Actuals Projected Actuals Projected Actuals Projected Actuals Special S | Special Spec | Special Spec | Special Spec | Special Spec | Actuals Actuals Actuals 2014-16 Actuals 2014-17 Actual 2014-17 Actuals 2014-17 Actuals 2014-17 Actual 201 | Actuals Actuals Actuals Actuals 2014-16 Special Actuals Actuals 2014-16 Projected Actuation 2015-16 Projected Education 2015-16 Restricted Education 2015-16 Restricted Education 2015-16 Restricted Education 2015-17 Restricted Education 2015-17 | Actuals Actuals Actuals Actuals Actuals Special Actuals Staticted Education Actuals Superial Actuals Superial Actuals Superial Actuals Superial Actuals Superial Actuals Superial | Estimated Autistic Education Autist 2014-15 Special Education Autist 2015-16 Projected Education Autist 2015-16 Projected Education Autist 2016-17 Projected Autist 2016-17 <td> Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Special</td> <td>Estimated 2014-16 Education 2015-16 Education 2014-16 Education 2014-17 Edu</td> <td> Estimated Estimated Estimated Education Projected Special Estimated Projected Special Education Special Education</td> <td> Special Estimated Spec</td> <td> Projected Proj</td> <td> Estimated Authorised Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special S</td> <td> Special Estimated Special Substituted Education Control Control </td> <td> Estimated Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Special Projected Special Spec</td> <td> Projected Proj</td> <td> Projected Estatistical Education Projected Education Project</td> <td> Projected Particle Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Project</td> <td> Special Projected Projected Special Restricted Special Projected Special Restricted Special Special Special Restricted Special Speci</td> <td> Special Activities Special Special Projected Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special</td> <td> Special Projected Education Special Special Special Special Special Special Sp</td> <td> Equipment Projected Entering Equipment Equation Equipment Equation Equat</td> <td>Figure 1</td> <td> Projected Proj</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Spec</td> <td> Projected Proj</td> <td> Eliminate Projected Projected Projected Projected Estection Projected Estection Projected Estection Este</td> <td> Eliminate Application Projected Pr</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Projection Pro</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Second Second</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> </td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Particle Particle</td> <td> Particular Par</td> <td> Secretary Secr</td> <td> 1,000, 1</td> | Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Special | Estimated 2014-16 Education 2015-16 Education 2014-16 Education 2014-17 Edu | Estimated Estimated Estimated Education Projected Special Estimated Projected Special Education Special Education | Special Estimated Spec | Projected Proj | Estimated Authorised Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special S | Special Estimated Special Substituted Education Control Control | Estimated Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Special Projected Special Spec | Projected Proj | Projected Estatistical Education Projected Education Project | Projected Particle Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Project | Special Projected Projected Special Restricted Special Projected Special Restricted Special Special Special Restricted Special Speci | Special Activities Special Special Projected Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special Special | Special Projected Education Special Special Special Special Special Special Sp | Equipment Projected Entering Equipment Equation Equipment Equation Equat | Figure 1 | Projected Proj | Particular Par | Projected Projected Projected Special Projected Special Projected Special Spec | Projected Proj | Eliminate Projected Projected Projected Projected Estection Projected Estection Projected Estection Este | Eliminate Application Projected Pr | Particular Par | Particular Par | Particular Par | Projection Pro | Particular Par | Second | Particular Par | | Particular Par | Particle Particle | Particular Par | Secretary Secr | 1,000, 1 |

06-01-15

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 LINE ITEM BUDGET SUMMARY and LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PROJECTION

1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Restricted		Special Unrestricted Education	Restricted	Projected 2016-17	S Unrestricted Ed	Special Re	Restricted	Projected
HOLD HARMLESS CALCULATION		000		naroineau.	2016-17			najaji ji	2047 40
HOLD HARMLESS CALCULATION Prior Pior Year Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding per ADA 5,300.62 Prior Pior Year Cap Funding Prior Pior Year Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding per ADA 2,007.392 Hold Harmless Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding 17,095,306 GAP FUNDING 25,210,216 Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - Categorical Programs 170,744,311 Fortal LCFF Funding 17,095,306 CAP Funding Grapat LCFF Funding 17,095,306 Corrent Year LCFF Funding 17,095,307 Transportation and TilG Funding 17,095,307 Transportation 17,005,403 Transportation 17,005,403 Transportation 17,005,403 Transportation 17,005,403 Transportation 17,005,403 Transportation 1				_					01-1607
HOLD HARMLESS CALCULATION Prior-Prior Year Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding per ADA 5,300.62 Prior Year Gap Funding Hold Harmless Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding Prior 7,095,306 GAP FUNDING TARGET - LCFF FUNDING TOTAL									
Prior-Prior Year Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding per ADA 5,300.62 Prior Year Gap Funding 260.78 Prior Year Cap Funding 260.78 Brior Year Cap Funding 2,073.92 Hold Harmless Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding 17,095,306 GAP FUNDING 25,210.216 Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17.095,306 GAP Funding (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded Ruadba x CV Ada (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded Ruadba x CV Ada (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funded Ruadba x CV Ada (17.095,306 Hold Harmless - Py Funding Graget LCFF Funding (17.097,385 GAP Funding Graget LCFF Funding (17.097,385 GAP (Educational Protection Act) (17.097,385 Property Taxes (10.744,311 RPA (Educational Protection Act) (10.744,311 Property Taxes (20.786,403 Indiste Aid (20.786,403 Indiste Aid			11-10		***************************************				
Fund Harmless Revenue Limit per ADA 250.78			6,177.30		6,177.30	6,972.95			6,972.95
Current Year Funded ADA 9,391,401 Current Year Funded ADA 3,073.92 Hold Harmless Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding 17,095,306 GAP FUNDING 25,210,216 Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 1,893,212 Hold Harmless - PV Funded RUADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - 1213 Categorical Programs 1,797,385 GAP Funding Graget LCFF less Hold Harmless x %) 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 1,797,385 GAP Funding Graget LCFF less Hold Harmless x %) 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 APP (Educational Only) 3,674,311 Property Taxes 10,744,311 CAP (Educational Protection Act) 6,391,686 Total Euroded Revenue Limit/LCFF 20,786,403 Current Year LCFF Funding 10,744,311 Princh Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) Current Year LCFF Funding 20,		_	795,65		795.65	320.99			320.99
Annabe			6,972.95		6,972.95	7,293.94			7,293.94
GAP FUNDING 25,210,216 Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (17,095,306) CAP Funding Percentage 22,97% GAP Funding Percentage 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,797,885 GAP FUNDING 1,797,885 GAP Funding (Target LCFF less Hold Harmless x %) 20,786,403 Informational Only 10,744,317 Property Taxes 6,391,685 State Aid 10,744,317 Current Year LCFF Funding 10,744,317 Current Year LCFF Funding 20,786,403 Townsontation and TilG Funding 189,657 Wholemental Concentration Grant at Target 110,654 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Difference 11,065		4	3,073.92		3,073.92	3,073.92			3,073.92
GAP FUNDING 25,210,216 TARGET - LCFF FUNDING 25,210,216 Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 22,97% GAP Funding Percentage 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,797,885 GAP Funding (Target LCFF less Hold Harmless x %) 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 Informational Only 10,744,317 Property Taxes 6,591,686 Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF 20,786,403 Informational Only 10,744,317 Property Taxes 6,391,686 Current Year LCFF Funding 10,744,317 Current Year LCFF Funding 20,786,403 Timesportation and TillG Funding 189,657 Timesportation and TillG Funding 110,654 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Difference 11,0654		18,988,526 21	21,434,290		21,434,290	22,420,988			22,420,988
TARCET - LCFF FUNDING 25,210,216 Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 729,37% GAP Funding Percentage 1,893,212 LCFF Eunding 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RUADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RUADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - 1213 Categorical Programs 17,095,306 GAP Funding Graget LCFF less Hold Harmless x %) 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 Informational Only 3,650,224 State Aid 5,391,886 Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF 20,786,403 LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) 6,391,886 LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) 20,786,403 Tonnent Year LCFF Funding 189,651 Transportation and TilG Funding 100,744,311 Prior Funder Runds Spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Prior Funds Spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Ofference 110,654						W. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/4/ m. 14/4/4/4/ m. 14			***************************************
Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding (17,095,306) Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding (1,797,885) Difference 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 28,97% GAP Funding 1,893,212 LCFF Euroding 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RLADA x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funding 1,797,885 GAP Funding (Target LCFF Funding) 20,744,317 Property Taxes 10,744,317 Property Taxes 10,744,317 RPA (Educational Protection Act) 3,550,224 State Aid 5,391,686 Corrent Year LCFF Funding 10,744,317 Corrent Year LCFF Funding 20,786,403 Transportation and TillG Funding 20,786,403 Transportation and TillG Funding 189,657 Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target 722,149		25,394,125 25	25,870,372		25,870,372	26.506.482			26.506.482
Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding 6,317,025 Difference 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 1,893,212 LCFF Eunding Harmless - PY Funded RUADA X CY ADA 17,093,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RUADA X CY ADA 17,093,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded RUADA X CY ADA 17,093,306 Hold Harmless - PY Funded Ruada X CY ADA 17,093,306 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 For Derty Taxes 10,744,311 Property Taxes 10,744,311 RPA (Educational Protection Act) 2,744,311 RPA (Educational Protection Act) 2,786,403 State Aid 2,786,403 Transportation and Till Funding 10,744,314 Transportation and Till Funding 10,786,403 Transportation and Till Funding 110,654 Prior Year LOFF Funding 110,654 Prior Year Loncentration Grant at Target 110,654 Difference 11		_	(21,434,290)		(21,434,290)	(22,420,988)		9	(22,420,988)
Difference 6,317,025 GAP Funding Percentage 29,97% GAP Funding 1,893,212 Hold Harmless - Prinded Rudok x CY ADA 17,095,306 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,7095,306 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 GAP Funding (Target LCFF less Hold Hamiless x %) 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 Property Taxes 3,650,234 State Aid 6,391,658 Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF 20,786,403 Current Year LCFF Funding 162,44,311 Current Year LCFF Funding 1,393,651 Transportation and THIG Funding 1722,149 Prior Year Locke Funding 1722,149 Prior Year Locke Tunds Spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Prior Year Locke Funding 110,654			(1,797,885)		(1,797,885)	(1,797,885)			(1,797,885)
CAP Funding Percentage 28.97% GAP FUNDING 1,893,212 LCFF Funding 1,7055,306 1 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,705,306 1 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,893,212 1 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 2 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 3 Property Taxes 10,744,311 1 Property Taxes 20,786,403 3 State Aid 6,391,658 6,391,658 Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF 20,786,403 1 Current Year LCFF Funding 168,651 2 Transportation and THIG Funding 189,651 2 Transportation and THIG Funding 189,651 2 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 110,654 Prior Year Funds Spent on Unduplicated Students 61,485			2,638,197		2,638,197	2,287,609			2,287,609
1,893,212		53.08%	37.40%		37.40%	36.70%			36.70%
LOFE Funding Lord Harmless 17,095,306 14 Hold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs 1,707,885 1,707,885 GAP Funding (Target LGF less Hold Hamiless x %) 1,893,212 1,893,212 TOTAL LCFF FUNDING 20,786,403 2,0786,403 Informational Only 10,744,311 3,650,234 Property Taxes 3,650,234 3,650,234 State Aid 6,391,858 10,746,413 ICAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) Current Year LCFF Funding 20,786,403 Current Year LCFF Funding 20,786,403 1391,855 Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target 722,149 Prior Year Ends Spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Prior Year Ends Spent on Unduplicated Students 6114,855		2,445,775	986,686		986,686	839,553	Annual transfer of the second		839,553
17,095,306 17,095,307 17,					77 77 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 7				***************************************
Hold Harmless		4							
1, Fe 1, 80		-5	21,434,290		21,434,290	22,420,988			22,420,988
1,893,212		4	1,797,885		1,797,885	1,797,885			1,797,885
TOTAL LCFF FUNDING		-	986,686		986,686	839,553			839,553
Informational Only 10,744,311 Property Taxes 10,744,311 EPA (Educational Protection Act) 3,650,234 State Aid 6,391,856 Total Funded Revenue LimitUL CFF 20,786,403 LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) 20,786,403 Transportation and TilG Funding 20,786,403 Transportation and TilG Funding 189,657 Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target 722,149 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Difference 611,485		23,232,186 24	24,218,861		24,218,861	25,058,426			25,058,426
Property Taxes 10,744,311 Property Taxes 3,650,234 State Aid 6,391,856 Total Funded Revenue Limit/L CFF 20,786,403 LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) 20,786,403 Transportation and TilG Funding 20,786,403 Transportation and TilG Funding 20,786,403 Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target 722,149 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,654 Difference 611,485					A (A 11 A (A (***************************************	
CAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) 20,786,403 3,650,234		40 744 944	40 244 044		770 771 07	770 770 07	***************************************		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
State Aid Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF Total Funding Transportation and Tile Funding Transpo		1	0,744,017		3 650 934	0,744,377			10,744,311
Total Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) Current Year LCFF Funding Transportation and TilG Funding Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target 110,654 Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 611,485		_	0,000,204		9,000,234	40.000,234			10,662,894
LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informational Only) Current Year LCFF Funding Transportation and TiliG Funding Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students 110,655 Difference	-	<u> ``</u>	24,278,861		24.218.861	25.058.426			25,058,426
LCAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Informationa Current Year LCFF Funding 20 Transportation and TilG Funding Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students Difference		╬							221 (22)
Current Year LCFF Funding Transportation and TilG Funding Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students Difference			WA 20 4 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				Philippi Audian Anna and administration and an anna		
Transportation and TilG Funding Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students Difference		23,232,186	A DAVANCE IN THE CONTRACT OF T		24,218,861		And the state of t		25,058,426
Supplemental Concentration Grant at Target Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students Ofference		189,651			189,651				189,651
Prior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students Difference		656,893			741,203				759,562
Difference		293,919	AMARANA	***************************************	486,586				581,813
	And a company operation of a property of a company of the control of the	362,974			254,617			***************************************	177,749
Funded portion of increase in Supplemental Grant		192,667			95,227		**************************************		65,234
Total Funding for Unduplicated Students	***************************************	486,586	***************************************	***************************************	581,813				647,047
Current Year Funding Available for all Students 20,39		22,555,949			23,447,397				24,221,728
92 Proportionality Percentage for Unduplicated Students 1.45%		2.16%			2.48%			***	2.67%
93 Drainted Endral Innovan			A COLORODO DA LA CARRA DA MARCA DA MARCA DA MARCA DA CARRA DA CARRA DA CARRA DA CARRA DA CARRA DA CARRA DA CAR	***************************************			And a feet of the state of the	And the form from the man family and the	
158 A 15	158 415	158 415		158 415	158 415			158 415	158 /15
Title I - PY Carvover		,	***************************************					-	
Special Ed - Local Assistance	600	508,009	508,009		508,009		508,009		508,009
6,319	19	6,319	6,319		6,319		6.319		6,319
ntitlement 17,446	46	17,446	17,446		17,446		17,446		17,446
	66	34,299	34,299		34,299	and the same of th	34,299		34,299
pment 74	74	42	74		74		74		74
	61,443	61,443		61,443	61,443			61,443	61,443
Title III - Immigrant Education Program	23,930	23,930		23,930	23,930			23,930	23,930
Title III - Limited English Proficiency Program 21,268		21,268		21,268	21,268			21,268	21.268
105 TOTAL PROJECTED FEDERAL REVENUE 892,577 - 566,147 2	47 265,056	831,203	- 566,147	265,056	831,203		566,147	265,056	831,203

06-01-15

Element Column															
Exempted Contest Exempted Contest	Í	A	-	7	×	7	M	2	0	Д.	Œ	ч	s	F-	Þ
Protected Others State Fromming 2014-60 200-377 Civil 796 Civil 200-207 Civil 200-			Estimated Actuals	1	Special	Restricted			Special	Restricted	Projected		Special	Restricted	Projected
Patiential Chite State Revenue 20.000 118.000 11	$\overline{}$	***************************************	2014-15	Onrestricted	Education		_	Unrestricted	Education		2016-17	Unrestricted	Education		2017-18
Common Locate Name 20, 456 20, 27 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,															
		Projected Uther State Revenue						The second secon							
	3 5	Mandrib Biock Coost	531,656	363,577		104,759	468,336	363,577		104,759	468,336	363,577	***************************************	104,759	468,336
Part	1	Misc. State Income	322,430	1 8, 83			118,183	118,183			118,183	118,183			118,183
1,500,000 1,50		Discretionary One-Time Funding	2,000	1 800 000			, 000 000								•
Special Est Calibrit Fronting 172 889 1		Prop 39 - Clean Enerov Act		000,000,		N	000,000	, 4			-		-		1
Special Excellation of Fundamental Fundamen	130	Special Ed - State Preschool Grant	1		,		1			-	1			1	1
Outmant of Parkeline Rechail of Long State 1 1,241,289 1,541,428	114	Special Ed - Mental Health Funding	172,693		172.693		172.693		172 693		172 893		179 603		179 603
Total Projectice Other State Review 1,028,855 2,221,750 172,853 144,759 2,559,212 451,759 172,853 144,759 1,541,459 1,441,459	115	Common Core Implementation Funding (one-time)	1			***************************************	,		2		20043		1,5,000		1,4,095
Problected Other Local Revenue Problected Other Local Revenue 1551,428 155	116	TOTAL PROJECTED OTHER STATE REVENUE	1,028,853	2,281,760	172,693	104,759	2,559,212	481,760	172,693	104,759	759,212	481.760	172.693	104.759	759.212
Part															111100
SEP-CAIR Controller Demonstration State Plant 1,541,428	130	Projected Other Local Revenue													
CRD Classmoom Ricadina Parcel Tax Revenue. Measure E cross. Purest Septial Education Plants 2,044,48 1,891,438 1,891,439 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Special Education - Master Plan</td><td>1,541,438</td><td></td><td>1,541,438</td><td></td><td>1,541,438</td><td></td><td>1,541,438</td><td></td><td>1,541,438</td><td></td><td>1.541,438</td><td></td><td>1,541,438</td></t<>		Special Education - Master Plan	1,541,438		1,541,438		1,541,438		1,541,438		1,541,438		1.541,438		1,541,438
State Stat		SELPA Reimbursement (Regional Programs)	500,000		350,000		350,000		350,000		350,000		350,000		350,000
ROP Classroom Allocation 251,250	122	Subtotal - Special Education Funds	2,041,438	1	1,891,438	-	1,891,438	••••	1,891,438	•	1,891,438		1,891,438	•	1,891,438
Color Courselor No. Color Color Courselor No. Color Color Courselor No. Color Co	123														
Color Colo	124	ROP Classroom Allocation	251,250	,			,	F			•	•			1
ROP Littlety Microlition 66.469	125	ROP Counselor Allocation	51,762	1			-	1			-	1			ı
Parcel Tax Revenue - Measure E proximation	128	ROP Lottery Allocation	15,629	1			,	1			-				-
Parcel Tax Revenue	127	ROP Support Allocation	68,498	1			ţ	-			•	•			j
Parcel Tax Revenue - Messure E poets) 3898710 3998710 3998710 4000 000 4000 000 4000 000 SMSF Annual Campaign Satoreal Parcel Tax Revenue - Messure R Rote) 1,572,894 1,575,000 1,675,000 1,600,000	128	Subtotal - ROP Funds	387,139	-	1	1	-	-	٠		-	,	-		,
Parcel Tax Revenue - Messure (2012) 3,999,710 3,599,710 4,000,000 Parcel Tax Revenue - Messure (2012) 3,599,710 3,599,710 2,514,710 5,514,71		A 1000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						***************************************							
Parcel lax Revenue - Measure R Doris) 1,575,000 1,575,000 1,575,000 1,625,000 1,622,000 1,622,000 SMSF Amusal Campellon Subroral - Percel Tax Funds 5,514,710 - 5,514,710 5,625,000 1,600,000 1,600,000 SMSF Amusal Campellon 1,000,000 1,000,		Parcel Tax Revenue - Measure E (2021)	3,939,710	3,939,710			3,939,710	4,000,000			4,000,000	4,075,000			4,075,000
SMSF Annual Campaign Subroral - Price I Tax Funds		Parcel Tax Revenue - Measure R (2019)	1,532,894	1,575,000			1,575,000	1,625,000			1,625,000	1,675,000			1,675,000
SMSF Fantual Campaign 1000 000	132	Subtotal - Parcel Tax Funds	5,472,604	5,514,710	•	'	5,514,710	5,625,000	•	,	5,625,000	5,750,000	a	,	5,750,000
SMSF Aminal Campaign 1,000,000				A Vivid City of the second Veneza of a self-control				***************************************			***************************************				
SMSF Excess Funds 5,500,200 1,500,200 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 2,500,000		SMSF Annual Campaign	1,000,000	1,000,000			1,000,000	1,000,000			1,000,000	1,000,000			1,000,000
Use of Facilities Rental Income Subtobal - SMIST Funds 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - 2,500,000 - - 2,500,000 -			1,507,289	1,500,000			1,500,000	1,500,000			1,500,000	1,500,000			1,500,000
Use of Facilities Rental Income 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 80,000	8	Subtotal - SMSF Funds	2,507,289	2,500,000	***************************************	i	2,500,000	2,500,000	•		2,500,000	2,500,000	1	ſ	2,500,000
Section of the contines of contines sential income 300,000 300,0		***************************************				Company of the Compan	A Section of a County and when a County of					**************************************			***************************************
National Point Nati		Use of Facilities Rental Income	300,000	300,000			300,000	300,000	Application of the second of t		300,000	300,000			300,000
Wisc. Local Income - Viscy John Misc. Local Income - PT Affiliates 1,594,266 -	5	interest Earnings	000'08				80,000	80,000		And the second of the second o	80,000	80,000		***************************************	80,000
1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.594.265 1.596.060 1.500	2	Misc. Local Income - Donations	487,353			-	1,154	•		,	-	-		,	
Misc. Local Income - FI Affiliates 120,000 -	4	Misc. Local Income - WSGV JPA	1,594,265	-		,		,		,	1	1		-	- Carrier Control of C
Misc. Local Income - ASB Teasiers 548 846 -	77	Misc. Local Income - PT Affiliates	120,000				•	,			•	•	,,,,		•
National Parametris - Curriculum Lab 15,000	<u>?</u>	Misc. Local Income - ASB Transfers	548,846			•	,						,		1
115,427 126 121,025		Kelmbursements - Cumchium Lab	000,61			000,61	15,000	The second secon	And the state of t	1000.61	000,41			15,000	300,61
National Sality 10,139 10,179 1		Religious serients - Lateril Cank	12,427			000,17	CDU,12			500,12	CUU,172			500,12	200,172
Perinducisements		Oximbumonta Eurodation Schmidensity	10,830			6),0	6,1,0			8//0	A),'0;		400000000000000000000000000000000000000	8),0	6)/(8
Reimbursements - Carver Enrichment 2-0,170	9 9	Point in the Coord DA	242 776			200 704	220 704			200 704	200 704			202 000	- 402 000
Reimbursements - Mark State Simborate - Coarted Carley Coarted Carley Coarted Carley	2 6	Court I Del Sell I Silve - Cal Vel C I D Oximbrico monte	45,000			700,004	200,101			101,062	230,701			10,002	700,/00
Reimbursements - Huntington PTA 94,712 -	2 5	Reimbursements - Valentine PTA	249 281	And the second s	Control design of transmit security and a section of	187 107	187 107			701 781	187 107			187 107	187 107
Reimbursements - Huntington ASB 6,350 -		Reimbursements - Huntington PTA	94.712				1							,	,
Reimbursements - SMHS PTA 102,947 - <t< td=""><td>23</td><td>Reimbursements - Huntington ASB</td><td>6,350</td><td></td><td>And the state of t</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ŀ</td><td></td><td>A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	23	Reimbursements - Huntington ASB	6,350		And the state of t		•				ŀ		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
Reimbursements - SMHS ASB 20.334 - <th< td=""><td>127</td><td>Reimbursements - SMHS PTA</td><td>102.947</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>***************************************</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	127	Reimbursements - SMHS PTA	102.947			,	•		***************************************	-	1				
Subtotal - Miscellaneous Income 4,115,440 381,154 - 480,592 881,746 380,000 - 480,592 86,592 86,704 1,891,438 480,592 10,767,894 8,505,000 1,891,438 480,592 10,877,030 10,877,030 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,181,438 10,877,030 10,877,0	155	Reimbursements - SMHS ASB	20,334			•	١							,	
TOTAL PROJECTED OTHER LOCAL REVENUE 14,523,910 8,395,864 1,891,438 480,592 10,767,894 8,505,000 1,891,438 480,592 10,877,030 10,877,	156	Subtotal - Miscellaneous Income	4,115,440	381,154	A STATE OF THE STA	480,592	861,746	380,000	F	480,592	860,592	380,000		480,592	860,592
TOTAL PROJECTED REVENUE 37,231,743 33,909,810 2,630,278 850,407 37,390,495 33,205,621 2,630,278 850,407 36,686,306	157	TOTAL PROJECTED OTHER	14,523,910	8,395,864	1,891,438	480,592	10,767,894	8,505,000	1,891,438	480,592	10,877,030	L1	1,891,438	480,592	11,002,030
	<u></u>	TOTAL PROJECTED REVENUE	37,231,743	33,909,810	2,630,278	850,407	37,390,495	33,205,621	2,630,278	850,407	36,686,306	34,170,186	2,630,278	850,407	37,650,871
	159														

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 LINE ITEM BUDGET SUMMARY and LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PROJECTION

A		-	×	-	N.A.	I V							
	Fefimated		4	1	A	2			3	×	S		>
	Actuals 2014-15	Unrestricted	Special Education	Restricted	Projected 2015-16	Unrestricted	Special Education	Restricted	Projected 2016-17	Unrestricted	Special Education	Restricted	Projected 2017-18
2 160 Proiected Expenditures													
161 Certificated Salaries	16,023,676	13,803,190	2,318,168	172.936	16.294.294	13.278.190	2.318.168	172 936	15 769 294	13 508 190	2 348 168	177 036	16 020 204
	1		1	1	-	230,000	30,000		260,000	Ì.	30,000	000,371	260,000
163 Certificated Adjustments	1	450,000		1	450,000	1		-		-	-	-	-
164 Classified Salaries	7,706,086	4,974,200	1,834,902	1,059,310	7,868,412	4,759,200	1,834,902	1,059,310	7,653,412	4,879,200	1,864,902	1,069,310	7.813.412
	1		1		,	120,000	30,000	10,000	160,000		30,000	10,000	160,000
	+	235,000	,	1	235,000	-	,		,		1	,	•
	6,764,544	5,713,184	1,392,713	373,668	7,479,565	5,218,029	1,392,713	373,668	6,984,410	5,680,375	1,402,713	375,668	7,458,756
168 Employee Benefits related to Step/Column			,	•	,	000'09	10,000	2,000	72,000	000'09	10,000	2,000	72,000
	-	115,000	-	ı	115,000		'	١	,		,	1	-
	-				1	402,346			402,346	590,740			590,740
	3,075,894	1,332,577	23,462	388,543	1,744,582	1,176,999	23,462	388,543	1,589,004	959,302	23,462	388,543	1,371,307
	4,773,031	2,514,604	643,861	474,838	3,633,303	2,214,604	643,861	474,838	3,333,303	1,714,604	643,861	474,838	2,833,303
173 Equipment	4,119,064	410,000		10,000	420,000	1	1	10,000	10,000			10.000	10.000
174 Other Outgo	144,386	15,500	75,000	-	90,500		75,000	-	75,000	,	75.000		75 000
175 Indirect Support		(49.367)	33.498	15.869		(49.367)	33.498	15 860	VIII.	7,46 267)	33 408	15 960	2
176 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	42,606,681	29,513,888	6,321,604	2,495,164	38,330,656	27.410.001	6.391.604	2 507 164	36.308.769	27 693 044	6 461 604	2 549 164	36 673 819
177									201/2021	12,000,12	ton'int'o	2,013,104	210,010,00
178 Excess/(Deficiency) of Revenue over Expenditures	(5,374,938)	4,395,922	(3.691,326)	(1.644.757)	(940,161)	5.795.620	(3.761.326)	(1 656 757)	377 537	6 477 142	(3 834 326)	(4 668 757)	977 050
179							TARREST MAN	,,,,,,,,,,	100,41		(0.50, 0.00)	(1,000,1)	200,170
180 Other Financing Sources/Uses			***************************************					THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE		-			
181 Transfer in from Health & Welfare Fund	522,290	176,542			176.542	1			•	-			
182 Transfer to Health & Wetfare Fund	(171,503)				-	•		***************************************	,			***************************************	
183 Transfer in from Capital Projects Fund	173,415	-			'	1				•			***************************************
184 Transfer in from Cash Flow Fund	1	795,000			795 000		- +						
185 Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	(15 125)	(15,500)			(15,500)	(15 500)			(45.500)	(15,500)	***************************************		745 5000
187/ Contribution to Special Education		(3 691 326)	3 691 376	-	75.55	(3.761.326)	3 761 326		(200,00)	(3 834 326)	3 824 306		(200,000)
188 Contribution to Maintenance & Operations		(1 644 757)	270,1 20,0	1 644 757		(4 656 757)	2,101,020	1 858 757		(4,669,767)	020,100,0	7 000 7	1
	500 027	(4,000,044)	2004 200	10,140,1	010 010	(1,000,100)	200	100,000,1	- 200	(1,000,137)		107,000,1	-
100 FOLAL OTRER SOURCES (USES)	/ /n'enc	(4,380,041)	3,091,326	1,644,737	955,642	(5,433,583)	3,761,326	1,656,757	(15,500)	(5,515,583)	3,831,326	1,668,757	(15,500)
401 Not Increase (Increase) in Essent Delance	(A 0.0 0 0.4)	700 57	***************************************		700 17	100 000			100 000		***************************************		
	(4,003,00	100'61	•	r	13,881	397,037	'	1	362,037	861,558	(961,559
193 Projected Beginning Balance July 1	7 982 059	2 294 219	201 960	620 019	3 416 198	2 340 100	201 960	620 049	3 129 070	2 K79 437	201 060	820.049	2 404 446
194 Restatement				20,000	2016116	22.6	200,100	212,042	2 (2 (42) (2		200,102	210,020	0,101,0
195													•
196 Projected Ending Balance June 30	3,116,198	2,310,100	201,960	620,019	3,132,079	2,672,137	201,960	620,019	3,494,116	3,633,696	201,960	620,019	4,455,675
197													
198 Components of Ending Fund Balance													
199 Designated for Economic Uncertainties Percentage	5.00%	2.00%			5.00%	2.00%			2.00%	2.00%			2.00%
200 Designated for Economic Uncertainties - 5%	2,180,595	1,969,976			1,969,976	1,890,541	The state of the s		_	1,953,713			1,953,713
201 Assigned for Carryover - School Site Allocations/Programs	522,985	1	1	522,985	522,985	1	,	522,985	522,985			522,985	522,985
202 Assigned for Carryover - Mental Health Program	201,960		201,960	1	201,960	1	201,960	•	201,960	•	201,960	ı	201,960
203 Assigned for Carryover - Prop 39 Clean Air Act	82,034	'	,	82,034	82,034			82,034	82,034		,	82,034	82,034
205 Assigned for Carryover - Future Year	11,595	238,095			238,095	1		1	,	1		-	•
208 Assigned Balance - Supplemental Grant	1				,	95,227			95,227				160,461
209 Assigned Balance - GAP Funding (net of STRS/PERS Increases)		1				584,340			584,340	***			1,417,493
210 Revolving Fund		100,000			100,000	100,000			100,000				100,000
211 Talent Bank Revolving Fund	15,000	1		15,000	15,000	1		15,000	15,000	1		15,000	15,000
212 Warehouse Stores	2,029	2,029			2,029	2,029			2,029	2.029			2,029
213			The second secon									200	
214 Unappropriated Amount		_	-	Ŧ	-	1		T-	_		,	•	1

06-01-15

	Α	E		М	Q	Ū
1		Unaudited Actuals 2013-14	Estimated Actuals 2014-15	Projected 2015-16	Projected 2016-17	Projected 2017-18
2	Designated D2 Average Delik Attendance (ADA)	2 052 40	2 054 96	3,053.21	3,053.21	3,053.21
3	Projected P2 Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Plus Annual Non-Public School (NPS) ADA	3,053.48 8.62	3,054.86 9,27	7.44	7.44	7.44
6	Total Projected Revenue Limit ADA	3,062.10	3,064.13	3,060.65	3,060.65	3,060.65
7						
8	CBEDS Enrollment Projection					
9	Grades K - 3	714	727	727	727	727
10	Grades 4 - 6	709	693	693	693	693
11	Grades 7 - 8	543	528	528	528	528
12	Grades 9 - 12	1,167	1,194	1,194	1,194	1,194
13	Total CBEDS Enrollment Projection	3,133	3,142	3,142	3,142	3,142
14 15	Unduplicated ELL, Free/Reduced & Foster Youth Count	326	467	467	467	467
16	Percent over CBEDS	10.41%	14.86%	14.86%	14.86%	14.86%
17	recan we could	10.4170	14.0070		14.0070	74.0070
	Projected Funded Average Daily Attendance (ADA)					
19	Grades K - 3	723.03	707.32	707.32	707.32	707.32
20	Grades 4 - 6	697.52	677.28	677.28	677.28 515.26	677.28 515.26
21	Grades 7 - 8 Grades 9 - 12	507.32	515.26 1 174.06	515.26 1,174.06	1,174.06	1,174.06
22	Total Funded ADA Projection	1,159.12 3,086.99	1,174.06 3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92
24	Total Funded ADA Frojection	0,000.00	0,070.02	0,010.02	0,010.02	0,010.02
$\overline{}$	Projected COLA	1.565%	0.850%	1.020%	1.600%	2.480%
26						
1	Projected Base Grant					
28	Grades K - 3	6,952.00	7,011.00	7,083.00	7,196.00	7,374.00
29	Grades 4 - 6	7,056.00	7,116.00	7,189.00	7,304.00	7,485.00
30	Grades 7 - 8	7,266.00	7,328.00	7,403.00	7,521.00	7,708.00
31	Grades 9 - 12	8,419.00	8,491.00	8,578.00	8,715.00	8,931.00
32				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	TARGET BASE GRANT	r 000 F0F	4 050 004	5 000 040	r 000 07C	E 245 770
34 35	Grades K - 3	5,026,505	4,959,021	5,009,948 4,868,966	5,089,875 4,946,853	5,215,778 5,069,441
36	Grades 4 - 6 Grades 7 - 8	4,921,701 3,686,187	4,819,524 3,775,825	3,814,470	3,875,270	3,971,624
37	Grades 9 - 12	9,758,631	9,968,943	10,071,087	10,231,933	10,485,530
	TARGET - Base Grant	23,393,024	23,523,313	23,764,471	24,143,931	24,742,373
39		,,	.,,	. ,		······································
	TARGET GRADE SPAN ADJUSTMENTS					
41	K - 3 Augmentation (Target Base Grant x 10.4%) (\$729)	522,757	515,636	521,295	529,075	542,514
42	9 - 12 Augmentation (Target Base Grant x 2.60%) (\$221)	253,724	259,467	261,815	266,512	272,382
	TARGET - Augmentation Grants	776,481	775,103	783,110	795,587	814,896
44			,			
	TARGET SUPPLEMENTAL GRANT	400000	4 050 000	4.000.540	4.007.004	E 444 4F 1
46	Target Base + Target Augmentation Grant x 20%	4,833,901	4,859,683	4,909,516	4,987,904 14.86%	5,111,454 14.86%
47 48	Unduplicated Count Percentage - Average TARGET - Supplemental Grant	10.41% 503,209	14.86% 722,149	13.38% 656,893	741,203	759,562
49	IANGLI - Supplemental Gidlit	303,209	122,143	000,000	1-71,200	100,002
$\overline{}$	TARGET TRANSPORTATION & THG GRANTS					
51	Transportation Grant	27,727	27,727	27,727	27,727	27,727
52	TIIG Grant	161,924	161,924	161,924	161,924	161,924
	TARGET - Transportation/TIIG Grants	189,651	189,651	189,651	189,651	189,651
	TARGET - LCFF FUNDING	24,862,365	25,210,216	25,394,125	25,870,372	26,506,482
55						

57 58	HOLD HARMLESS CALCULATION	Unaudited Actuals 2013-14	Estimated Actuals	Projected	Projected	Drojostod
56 H 57 58	HOLD HARMLESS CALCULATION		2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Projected 2017-18
57 58	IULD HARMILESS CALCULATION					
58				# FO4 40	0.427.00	0 070 00
	Prior-Prior Year Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding per ADA	5,300.62	5,300.62	5,561.40	6,177.30	6,972.95
1501	Prior Year Gap Funding	- 000 00	260.78	615.90	795.65	320.99
	Hold Harmless Revenue Limit per ADA	5,300.62	5,561.40	6,177.30	6,972.95	7,293.94
	Current Year Funded ADA	3,086.99	3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92	3,073.92
	Hold Harmless Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding	16,362,961	17,095,306	18,988,526	21,434,290	22,420,988
62	PAD CHRIMALO					
	SAP FUNDING	04.000.005	05 040 046	25 204 425	25,870,372	26 806 492
	TARGET - LCFF FUNDING	24,862,365	25,210,216	25,394,125		26,506,482
	Hold Harmless - Revenue Limit/LCFF Funding	(16,362,961)	(17,095,306)	(18,988,526)	(21,434,290)	(22,420,988)
	Hold Harmless - Categorical Funding	(1,797,885)	(1,797,885)	(1,797,885)	(1,797,885)	(1,797,885)
	Difference	6,701,519	6,317,025	4,607,714	2,638,197 37.40%	2,287,609
	GAP FUNDING	12.00%	29.97%	53.08% 2,445,775	986,686	36.70% 839,553
69 70	GAP FUNDING	804,182	1,893,212	2,440,775	300,000	039,553
	AFF F 1					
	CFF Funding	16 262 064	17,095,306	18,988,526	21,434,290	22,420,988
	lold Harmless - PY Funded RL/ADA x CY ADA	16,362,961		1,797,885	1,797,885	1,797,885
—	Iold Harmless - 12/13 Categorical Programs	1,797,885	1,797,885		986,686	839,553
	SAP Funding (Target LCFF less Hold Harmless x %)	804,182	1,893,212	2,445,775	24,218,861	25,058,426
	OTAL LCFF FUNDING	18,965,028	20,786,403	23,232,186	24,210,001	23,030,420
76	of a was tional. Only					
	nformational Only	10 004 002	10 744 911	10,744,311	10,744,311	10,744,311
	Property Taxes	10,084,092	10,744,311	3,650,234	3,650,234	3,650,234
	PA (Educational Protection Act) tate Aid	3,456,616 5,424,320	3,650,234 6,391,858	8,837,641	9,824,316	10,663,881
	tate Ald otal Funded Revenue Limit/LCFF	18,965,028	20,786,403	23,232,186	24,218,861	25,058,426
82	otal Funded Revenue Limbloff	10,903,020	20,700,400	25,252,100	24,210,001	20,000,420
	CAR Proportionality Researches Calculation (Informati	ional Only)				
	CAP Proportionality Percentage Calculation (Information report of the Cartest Percent Year LCFF Funding	onai Only)	20,786,403	23,232,186	24,218,861	25,058,426
	ransportation and TIIG Funding		189,651	189,651	189,651	189,651
	upplemental Concentration Grant at Target		722,149	656,893	741,203	759,562
	rior Year Funds spent on Unduplicated Students		110,654	293,919	486,586	581,813
	ifference		611,495	362,974	254,617	177,749
	unded portion of Increase in Supplemental Grant		183,265	192,667	95,227	65,234
	otal Funding for Unduplicated Students		293,919	486,586	581,813	647,047
	urrent Year Funding Available for all Students		20,302,833	22,555,949	23,447,397	24,221,728
	roportionality Percentage for Unduplicated Students		1.45%	2.16%	2.48%	2.67%
	roportionality rescentage for unduplicated students		1.40/0	2.10/0	2.40/0	2.0778
93 94 Pr	rojected Federal Income					
94 Pr 95 Tit		84,969	158,415	158,415	158,415	158,415
		97,578	61,374	150,415	130,413	100,410
	itle I - PY Carryover pecial Ed - Local Assistance	482,844	508,009	508,009	508,009	508,009
	pecial Ed - Local Assistance pecial Ed - Federal Preschool	8,895	6,319	6,319	6,319	6,319
	pecial Ed - Preschool Local Entitlement	16,657	17,446	17,446	17,446	17,446
	pecial Ed - Preschool Local Entitlement pecial Ed - Mental Health Funding	35,193	34,299	34,299	34,299	34,299
	pecial Ed - Mental Health Fullding pecial Ed - Preschool Staff Development	107	74	74	74	74
	tle II - Teacher Quality	61,845	61,443	61,443	61,443	61,443
	tle III - Immigrant Education Program	21,184	23,930	23,930	23,930	23,930
	tle III - Limited English Proficiency Program	14,720	21,268	21,268	21,268	21,268
	OTAL PROJECTED FEDERAL REVENUE	823,992	892,577	831,203	831,203	831,203
106	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	020,002	552,011	22.,200	,	,

	A	Е		М	Q	U
_1		Unaudited Actuals 2013-14	Estimated Actuals 2014-15	Projected 2015-16	Projected 2016-17	Projected 2017-18
2						
	Projected Other State Revenue	504 204	E24 656	460 226	469.226	460 226
	Lottery Revenue Mandate Block Grant	524,304 118,183	531,656 322,436	468,336 118,183	468,336 118,183	468,336 118,183
	Misc. State Income	7,229	2,068	110,103	110,103	110,103
	Discretionary One-Time Funding	1,220	2,000	1,800,000		
	Special Ed - Mental Health Funding	177,291	172,693	172,693	172,693	172,693
	TOTAL PROJECTED OTHER STATE REVENUE	1,582,784	1,028,853	2,559,212	759,212	759,212
117						
	Projected Other Local Revenue					
_	Special Education - Master Plan	1,618,891	1,541,438	1,541,438	1,541,438	1,541,438
	SELPA Reimbursement (Regional Programs)	335,124	500,000	350,000	350,000	350,000
122	Subtotal - Special Education Funds	1,954,015	2,041,438	1,891,438	1,891,438	1,891,438
123	DOD OL All	054.050	054.050			
-	ROP Classroom Allocation ROP Counselor Allocation	251,250 51,762	251,250 51.762	*		- -
	ROP Lottery Allocation	51,762 31,258	51,762 15,629			
	ROP Support Allocation	68,498	68,498			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
128	Subtotal - ROP Funds	402,768	387,139			-
129						
)	Parcel Tax Revenue - Measure E (2021)	3,881,624	3,939,710	3,939,710	4,000,000	4,075,000
131	Parcel Tax Revenue - Measure R (2019)	1,510,302	1,532,894	1,575,000	1,625,000	1,675,000
132	Subtotal - Parcel Tax Funds	5,391,926	5,472,604	5,514,710	5,625,000	5,750,000
133						
	SMSF Annual Campaign	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
	SMSF Excess Funds	1,783,851	1,507,289	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000
136	Subtotal - SMSF Funds	2,783,851	2,507,289	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
137	United States and the states and the states are states are states and the states are st	200 400	200 000	200 000	200 000	200.000
	Use of Facilities Rental Income	308,400	300,000 80,000	300,000 80,000	300,000 80,000	300,000 80,000
	Interest Earnings Misc. Local Income - Donations	75,359 600,200	487,353	1,154	80,000	80,000
-	Misc. Local Income - WSGV JPA	000,200	1,594,265	1,134		
	Misc. Local Income - PT Affiliates	110,294	120,000	-	-	
	Misc. Local Income - ASB Transfers	999,448	548,846	-	-	-
	Reimbursements - Curriculum Lab	10,897	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
146	Reimbursements - Talent Bank	13,520	115,427	21,005	21,005	21,005
	Reimbursements - PTA Council (Curr Lab)	21,844	17,996	18,779	18,779	18,779
148	Reimbursements - Foundation Salary/Benefits	175,679	104,144	_		
	Reimbursements - Carver PTA	290,270	243,776	238,701	238,701	238,701
	Reimbursements - Carver Enrichment	852	15,009		-	
	Reimbursements - Valentine PTA	289,214	249,281	187,107	187,107	187,107
	Reimbursements - Huntington PTA	51,524	94,712		-	-
	Reimbursements - Huntington ASB	14,927	6,350	_	*	
	Reimbursements - SMHS PTA Reimbursements - SMHS ASB	77,067 16,455	102,947 20,334			
156	Subtotal - Miscellaneous Income	3,055,950	4,115,440	861,746	860,592	860,592
	TOTAL PROJECTED OTHER LOCAL REVENUE	13,588,510	14,523,910	10,767,894	10,877,030	11,002,030
	TOTAL PROJECTED REVENUE	34,960,314	37,231,743	37,390,495	36,686,306	37,650,871
159			·····			-
160	Projected Expenditures					
	Certificated Salaries	14,590,455	16,023,676	16,294,294	15,769,294	16,029,294
	Certificated Step/Column	-	_		260,000	260,000
	Certificated Adjustments			450,000		<u>-</u>
	Classified Salaries	6,990,026	7,706,086	7,868,412	7,653,412	7,813,412
	Classified Step/Column	-	-	005.000	160,000	160,000
166	Classified Adjustments			235,000	-	-

	A	Е		M	Q	U
1		Unaudited Actuals 2013-14	Estimated Actuals 2014-15	Projected 2015-16	Projected 2016-17	Projected 2017-18
2						
167	Employee Benefits	6,116,233	6,764,544	7,479,565	6,984,410	7,458,756
168	Employee Benefits related to Step/Column		-	_	72,000	72,000
	Employee Benefit Adjustments		-	115,000		
170	Increase in STRS/PERS Rates	-	_		402,346	590,740
	Book and Supplies	1,739,062	3,075,894	1,744,582	1,589,004	1,371,307
172	Operating Services	4,614,480	4,773,031	3,633,303	3,333,303	2,833,303
173	Equipment	2,900,509	4,119,064	420,000	10,000	10,000
174	Other Outgo	268,903	144,386	90,500	75,000	75,000
175	Indirect Support	-	-	-	-	_
176	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	37,219,668	42,606,681	38,330,656	36,308,769	36,673,812
177						
178	Excess/(Deficiency) of Revenue over Expenditures	(2,259,354)	(5,374,938)	(940,161)	377,537	977,059
179						
180	Other Financing Sources/Uses					
181	Transfer in from Health & Welfare Fund	295,043	522,290	176,542	-	
182	Transfer to Health & Welfare Fund	(221,274)	(171,503)	-	_	w
183	Transfer in from Capital Projects Fund	-	173,415	-	-	-
184	Transfer in from Cash Flow Fund	-	-	795,000	-	_
185	Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	(47,385)	(15,125)	(15,500)	(15,500)	(15,500)
189	TOTAL OTHER SOURCES/(USES)	(546,209)	509,077	956,042	(15,500)	(15,500)
190						
191	Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance	(2,805,563)	(4,865,861)	15,881	362,037	961,559
192						
193	Projected Beginning Balance July 1	10,733,376	7,982,059	3,116,198	3,132,079	3,494,116
195						
	Projected Ending Balance June 30	7,982,059	3,116,198	3,132,079	3,494,116	4,455,675
197		i	1			
198	Components of Ending Fund Balance					
	Designated for Economic Uncertainties Percentage	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
	Designated for Economic Uncertainties - 5%	2,186,951	2,180,595	1,969,976	1,890,541	1,953,713
	Assigned for Carryover - School Site Allocations/Programs	2,360,275	522,985	522,985	522,985	522,985
	Assigned for Carryover - Mental Health Program	201,960	201,960	201,960	201,960	201,960
	Assigned for Carryover - Prop 39 Clean Air Act	82,034	82,034	82,034	82,034	82,034
	Assigned for Carryover - Common Core Program	560,057		-	_	
	Assigned for Carryover - Future Year	1,500,748	11,595	238,095		_
	Assigned Balance - H&W Transfer	168,823			_	
	Assigned Balance - GAP Funding 13/14	804,182	_			_
	Assigned Balance - Supplemental Grant			_	95,227	160,461
	Assigned Balance - GAP Funding	_ 1			584,340	1,417,493
	Revolving Fund	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
	Talent Bank Revolving Fund	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
	Warehouse Stores	2,029	2,029	2,029	2,029	2,029
	1,4,4,7,4,30 010100	2,023	2,023	2.,02.0	2,020	2,023
213						

SAN MARINO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2015-16 Summary by Fund

			Fund 01.0	Fund 13.0	Fund 14.0	Fund 17.0	Fund 17.2	Fund 25.0	Fund 40.0	Fund 51.0	Total
	Unrestricted	Restricted	COMBINED GENERAL FUND	Food Services	Deferred Maintenance	Health & Welfare Reserve	Cash Flow	Capital Facilities	Capital Projects &	Bond Interest & Redemption	SUMMARY ALL FUNDS
Projected Balance July 1, 2015	2,294,219	821,979	3,116,198	23,195	1,562,015	176,542	7,362,000	683,601	61,537	3,793,507	16,778,595
Revenues				•						•	
State Revenues (LCFF)	23,232,186	1	23,232,186	F	ı	,	,	1	ı	,	23,232,186
Federal Revenue	;	831,203	831,203	95,000	•	•	,	ŧ	1	ı	926,203
Other State Revenue	2,281,760	277,452	2,559,212	6,000	t	•	,	ŧ	(ı	2,565,212
Other Local Revenue	8,395,864	2,372,030	10,767,894	771,000	10,000	1,000	25,000	102,000		4,168,189	15,845,083
Total Revenues	33,909,810	3,480,685	37,390,495	872,000	10,000	1,000	25,000	102,000	1	4,168,189	42,568,684
Expenditures											
Certificated Salaries	14,253,190	2,491,104	16,744,294	ı	ı		•	į	ı	,	16,744,294
Classified Salaries	5,209,200	2,894,212	8,103,412	456,931	ı	,	•	ł	1	,	8,560,343
Employee Benefits	5,828,184	1,766,381	7,594,565	124,466	1	+	•	ŧ	ı	,	7,719,031
Supplies	1,332,577	412,005	1,744,582	317,500	1	•	,	ı	ı	•	2,062,082
Operating Services	2,514,604	1,118,699	3,633,303	(21,950)	116,156		•	50,000	2	ı	3,777,509
Capital Outlay/Equipment	410,000	10,000	420,000	1	1,445,859	1	•	50,000	61,537	•	1,977,396
Other Outgo	15,500	75,000	90,500	\$	ı	,	•	ı	ı	4,171,105	4,261,605
Indirect Support	(49,367)	49,367	•	1	1	•	\$	1	ı	,	1
Total Expenditures	29,513,888	8,816,768	38,330,656	876,947	1,562,015	ı	,	100,000	61,537	4,171,105	45,102,260
Other Financing Sources/Uses	(4,380,041)	5,336,083	956,042	ı	1	(176,542)	(795,000)	ı	15,500	ı	1
Net Incr/Decr in Fund Balance	15,881)	15,881	(4,947)	(1,552,015)	(175,542)	(770,000)	2,000	(46,037)	(2,916)	(2,533,576)
Projected Balance June 30, 2016	2,310,100	821,979	3,132,079	18,248	10,000	1,000	6,592,000	685,601	15,500	3,790,591	14,245,019
Components of Ending Fund Balance:											
Revolving Cash	100,000	,	100,000	612	(ŧ	,	ı	ı	ı	100,612
Talent Bank	ı	15,000	15,000	(ł	1	1	,	1	ŧ	15,000
Stores	2,029	1	2,029	13,093	,	ı	1	ı	1	,	15,122
Assigned for Food Svc Operations	1	i	,	4,543	1	,	ı	1	ı	ı	4,543
Assigned for Def. Maint. Projects	ı	1	,	ı	10,000	,	,	1	ł	,	10,000
Assigned for H&W Increase	1	ı	ı	ı	1	1,000	1	ŧ	ţ	,	1,000
Assigned for Cash Flow	-	ı	,	ı	*	•	6,592,000	1	ı	,	6,592,000
Assigned for Capital Projects	1	1	1	,	,	,	ı	685,601	15,500	,	701,101
Assigned for Econ. Uncertainties	1,969,976	1	1,969,976	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı	,	1,969,976
Assigned for Carryover-Future Year	238,095	806,979	1,045,074								1,045,074
Assigned for Bond Int. & Redemption	-	1	1	,	1	-	(4	-	3,790,591	3,790,591
Unappropriated Amount	1	-		•	*	-	,	•	1	•	•